

From: [foi](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Request (21-005)
Date: 15 April 2021 09:30:00

Dear [REDACTED]

I have the answers to your questions below. Please accept my apologies for the delay in getting back to you.

Kind regards and take care

[REDACTED]

First, regarding Pudding Mill, in your response letter you say Rick Roberts Way is included in the Pudding Mill figures. However, I note in Annex A you have provided a separate set of figures for Rick Roberts Way and for A Triangle. Do I understand this to mean the Pudding Mill Figure of 948 is a total just for Pudding Mill and, given that there are three figures, the total for all three is 1521?

Yes, Rick Roberts Way is part of the Pudding Mill Neighbourhood under the Legacy Communities Scheme Planning Consent, but we have separated out the anticipated number of homes for each site. Pudding Mill Lane is expected to deliver 948 homes; Rick Roberts Way 453 homes; and Aquatics Triangle 120 homes. This gives a total of 1,521.

Second, with regard to Rick Roberts Way can you please clarify what it was used for during the Games.

Rick Roberts Way was used for coach parking and logistics during the Olympic and Paralympic Games.

Third, I note there are figures for 'consented' and 'not yet consented' for Stratford Waterfront and Bridgewater. I am assuming this means a total of 1166. Is this correct?

Yes the total across the two sites is anticipated to be 1166. The Stratford Waterfront planning consent includes 62,800sqm residential floorspace which our illustrative scheme shows can accommodate approximately 591 homes, and approximately 575 are anticipated on Bridgewater (Not Yet Consented). They are combined as they will be delivered by one developer.

Fourth, is it possible to break down by site the figures for Stratford Waterfront and Bridgewater?

The figures for Stratford Waterfront are the Consented figures. The figures for Bridgewater are the Not Yet Consented:

SWFT and BW

Tenure	Proposed	
	Stratford Waterfront (Consented)	Bridgewater (Not Yet Consented)
Low Cost Rent		88
Intermediate	207	177
Market	384	310
Total	591	575

Fifth, I note that the figure for Eastwick is described as Phase 1. Do you have any figures (approximate?) for future phases, or know how many further phases there will be?

This table is incorrectly labelled. The figures are for all of East Wick which is Phases 1, 2, 3 and 7 of the Legacy Communities Scheme Planning Consent, which includes East Wick and Sweetwater. The unit breakdown is fixed for Phase 1 (build almost complete); the rest is indicative.

Sixth, what does Low Cost Rent refer to in Stratford Waterfront, Bridgewater, Pudding Mill, Rick Roberts Way and A Triangle? Will this include Social Rent or only include other kinds of Affordable Rent?

Low Cost Rent follows the definition the Adopted London Plan (2021). This includes both Social Rent and Affordable Rent. As most of these schemes will be delivered under the Mayor's New Affordable Homes Programme 2021-2026, any grant funded Low Cost Rented homes will need to be Social Rent. The exact mix will be determined through planning so it is not possible to give a breakdown at this stage.

Finally, I did ask for percentages in the last part of my request. These are not included in the response.

Percentages are a little harder to provide in a consistent way, as the LCS consented schemes are based on Affordable Housing by Unit, whereas the Portfolio Sites and later schemes are based on Affordable Housing by Habitable Room. Where detailed planning consent has not yet been granted, we have assumed an approximately unit size breakdown to give an approximate number of units for each tenure. On this basis, the approximate percentage of Affordable Housing proposed is as follows, however, this will be subject to detailed planning consent and variations depending on the final unit numbers and unit size mix:

Site	Affordable Housing %		
Chobham Manor	34%		by Unit
East Wick & Sweetwater	34%		by Unit
Stratford Waterfront	35%	50% overall	by Habitable Room

Bridgewater	50%	across the Portfolio of Sites	by Habitable Room
Pudding Mill Lane	45%		by Habitable Room
Rick Roberts Way	75%		by Habitable Room
Aquatics Triangle	50%		by Habitable Room

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@hotmail.co.uk]

Sent: 06 April 2021 13:35

To: foi <foi@londonlegacy.co.uk>

Subject: Re: Freedom of Information Request (21-005)

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for this response. I would be grateful if you could clarify some points. If need be treat this as a request for a review.

First, regarding Pudding Mill, in your response letter you say Rick Roberts Way is included in the Pudding Mill figures. However, I note in Annex A you have provided a separate set of figures for Rick Roberts Way and for A Triangle. Do I understand this to mean the Pudding Mill Figure of 948 is a total just for Pudding Mill and, given that there are three figures, the total for all three is 1521?

Second, with regard to Rick Roberts Way can you please clarify what it was used for during the Games.

Third, I note there are figures for 'consented' and 'not yet consented' for Stratford Waterfront and Bridgewater. I am assuming this means a total of 1166. Is this correct?

Fourth, is it possible to break down by site the figures for Stratford Waterfront and Bridgewater?

Fifth, I note that the figure for Eastwick is described as Phase 1. Do you have any figures (approximate?) for future phases, or know how many further phases there will be?

Sixth, what does Low Cost Rent refer to in Stratford Waterfront, Bridgewater, Pudding Mill, Rick Roberts Way and A Triangle? Will this include Social Rent or only include other kinds of Affordable Rent?

Finally, I did ask for percentages in the last part of my request. These are not included in the response.

With thanks,

[REDACTED]
