From:	Lyn Garner
To:	
Cc:	
Subject:	Re: Question from
Date:	15 February 2019 12:11:01
Attachments:	image004.png

Hi



a meeting once the application is lodged would be good. I feel that there remains local resistance and it would be good to explore your strategy.

Give me a shout when you're back and it's in!

Cheers.

From:	<	@msg.com>
Sent: Thursday, Fe	ebruary 14, 20	019 6:43:22 PM
To: Lyn Garner		
Cc:		
Subject: RE: Ques	tion from	

Thanks Lyn

I think I am right in saying that application is heading to the printers more or less as I type, so best guess with you mid / end next week.





The Madison Square Garden Company 2 Pennsylvania Plaza | 26th Floor | New York, NY 10121

0. +1 | M. +1 | E. @msg.com



Please note I am currently in the **UK**

From: Lyn Garner <lyngarner@londonlegacy.co.uk></lyngarner@londonlegacy.co.uk>
Sent: 14 February 2019 18:13
To:@msg.com>
Subject: Fwd: Question from
Hi
How are things - is the application in yet?
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2019 11:29:13 AM
To: Lyn Garner Cc:
Subject: Question from
Hi Lyn
called with a quick question. Tel: / @msg.com
Tel:
Regards
PA to Sir Peter Hendy CBE – Chair
PA to Lyn Garner - Chief Executive
Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park
London Legacy Development Corporation
Level 10 1 Stratford Place, Montfishet Road
1 Stratford Place, Montfichet Road London
London

From: To: Cc: Subject:	; <u>Anthony Hollingsworth</u> ; <u>Lyn Garner</u> RE: First Consultation
Date:	12 June 2019 09:59:56

Hi

It's probably helpful if I explain the context.

The question put to me on the night was about the local plan and what it says about what can and can't be built on the site. The specific question was whether housing would be appropriate in land use terms and it's in this context that I described housing as one of a number of uses that could, in theory, be acceptable in line with local and London Plan policy. You'll note, that the NR also reported me saying that there are also "other considerations". This is an important qualification.

I take your point about the NPPF definition, but can clarify that the local plan's guidance for this specific site is much more general and inclusive.

For this reason, I don't think there's anything factual we need to be clarify with the Newham Recorder.

Let's catch up later today or tomorrow if you want to pick this up. I can also update you on the likely programme of meetings going forward.

Best wishes,

Principal Planning Development Manager (Planning Policy and Decisions Team)

London Legacy Development Corporation Level 10 1 Stratford Place, Montfichet Road London E20 1EJ

DD: 020 3288 Mob: Email: @londonlegacy.co.uk



Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park: a dynamic new metropolitan centre for London For more information, please visit <u>www.QueenElizabethOlympicPark.co.uk</u>

From:	[mailto: @dp9.co.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2019	13:47
То: <	<pre>@londonlegacy.co.uk>;</pre>
< @	msg.com>; Anthony Hollingsworth
<anthonyhollingsw< th=""><td>orth@londonlegacy.co.uk></td></anthonyhollingsw<>	orth@londonlegacy.co.uk>
Cc:	<pre>@dp9.co.uk>; Lyn Garner <lyngarner@londonlegacy.co.uk></lyngarner@londonlegacy.co.uk></pre>
Subject: RE: First Co	onsultation

Hi

Just to be clear, 'Main town centre' uses do not include housing-see the glossary to the NPPF.

The Site Allocation SA3.1 is very clear that this does not include housing, as those quarters allocated for housing, eg South West quarter, southern parcel, makes specific reference to this use.

Happy to discuss, but given the level of misinformation being circulated, I think it's important that LLDC clarifies the position

Regards

Board Director direct: 020 mobile:
e-mail: <u>@dp9.co.uk</u> DP9 Ltd
100 Pall Mall
London
SW1Y 5NQ
telephone: 020 7004 1700 facsimile: 020 7004 1790 website: www.dp9.co.uk This e-mail and any attachments hereto are strictly confidential and intended solely for the addressee. It may contain information which is privileged. If you are not the intended addressee, you must not disclose, forward, copy or take any action in relation to this e-mail or attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please delete it and notify postmaster@dp9.co.uk
From: [mailto: @londonlegacy.co.uk]
Sent: 10 June 2019 12:32
To: @msg.com>; Anthony Hollingsworth
< <u>AnthonyHollingsworth@londonlegacy.co.uk</u> >
Cc: @dp9.co.uk>; @dp9.co.uk>; Lyn Garner <
Subject: RE: First Consultation

Hi

Thanks for your email.

The site allocation refers to 'town centre uses' and for planning purposes, this includes housing. We couldn't knowingly ask them to amend this part of the article as its factually true. I trust you'll understand our reasons for this. Particularly given the discussion at the time was about how the land could be used generally and not about a specific proposal.

The article is very selective in its reporting and I'm more than happy to discuss this with you what was said and follow up on the site allocation point. Chris and I had planned to catch up later this week to discuss the meeting programme so I'm more than happy to tag this on to that.

Otherwise I'm around and will be in the office till 4pm. We have our last consultation event this evening at St John's.

Best wishes,

Principal Planning Development Manager (Planning Policy and Decisions Team)

London Legacy Development Corporation Level 10 1 Stratford Place, Montfichet Road London E20 1EJ

DD: 020 3288 Mob: Email: @londonlegacy.co.uk



Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park: a dynamic new metropolitan centre for London For more information, please visit <u>www.QueenElizabethOlympicPark.co.uk</u>

From:	[mailto:	@msg.com]	
Sent: 07 June 2019 13	3:17			
To: Anthony Hollingsw	vorth < <u>AnthonyHollir</u>	ngsworth@londo	<pre>nlegacy.co.uk>;</pre>	
< <u>@londo</u>	onlegacy.co.uk>			
Cc:	@dp9.co	<u>uk</u>)' <	<u>@dp9.co.uk</u> >;	
<u>@dp9</u>). <u>co.uk</u>)' <	@dp9.co.uk	>; Lyn Garner	

<<u>LynGarner@londonlegacy.co.uk</u>>

Subject: First Consultation

Hi Anthony and

Looks like you had an interesting time at your first consultation.

One thing though that I wanted to raise, before the second consolation tonight, was the comment around housing, as reported by the Newham Recorder (see link below). They report that, when asked about housing, the 'Planning Officer' said that the site 'was appropriate for housing' and implied that the only reason it wasn't housing was because MSG were 'a leisure operator' I can only assume that the NR have reported this incorrectly, as clearly the site is not allocated for housing, has never been allocated for housing and has been allocated for major town centre redevelopment for some considerable time. Whether we are a sports and entertainment business is irrelevant – we bought the site *because* of its town centre and employment driven use allocated for residential development. The allocation in the local plan is nothing to do with MSG. I would be grateful if you would ensure that this is made clear going forward, and questions about its use for residential are dealt with as a planning issue, not an applicant issue.

May I also suggest that you correct the Newham Recorder and ask them to run the correction? This is such an emotive issue we need to all make sure that the facts are correct.

https://www.newhamrecorder.co.uk/news/newham-residents-voice-concerns-over-msg-sphere-1-6092498

Many thanks



Please note I am currently in the UK

This message may contain confidential, privileged or proprietary information of the Madison Square Garden Company or its affiliates. If you have received this message in error, please inform the sender by email and kindly delete the message.

This communication and the information it contains is intended for the addressee only. It may be confidential, legally privileged and protected by law. Unauthorised use, copying or disclosure of