



20 January 2020

INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCES 19-050 and 52

Dear 

Thank you for your three information requests, received on 25 September 2019 (19-050) and 30 September 2019 (19-052). You asked the London Legacy Development Corporation (Legacy Corporation) to provide the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

19-050: Please can you release all correspondence between Network Rail, DB Cargo, the LLDC and the Mayor of London (Head of the LLDC) between 2010-2013.

We are specifically interested in correspondence relating to Bow Goods Yard and conversations/emails/letters etc. that specifically relate to the land being rezoned as strategic industrial

Please can we also receive copies all correspondence between network rail, Peter Hendy, DB Cargo, S Walsh and Son and the Mayor of London as head of the LLDC, relating to all activities, investigations, complaints and plans for Bow Goods Yard, Bobby Moore Academy and the proposed concrete factories from 2015 to the current date.

Clarified as correspond between the London Legacy and any of these parties as opposed to all of them.

19-052: Please can you send me all emails sent and received by Peter Hendy to and from Network Rail and the LLDC. I'm particularly focussed on all emails relating to activity on Bow Goods Yard and all conversations that relate to the proposed concrete factory and also the aftermath of the previously unsuccessful concrete factory.

Please can you also action a similar request for Anthony Hollingsworth and all emails to and from Network Rail

Finally please can you provide copies of all emails sent to Peter Hendy - in his role as chairman of the LLDC - regarding bow Goods Yard and all operations of Network Rail, S Walsh and Son Sivyer.

I can confirm that the Legacy Corporation holds information relevant to your requests. Our response follows your order.

Please note:

- There is a degree of repetition between these requests. Where correspondence is relevant to that specific request it has been included within that annex. Specific correspondence may be included in multiple annexes.
- All links within the emails have been deactivated. Any email attachments relevant to your request have been inserted behind the specific email.
- Any information, including attachments, that is not relevant to your request, has been extracted from the email correspondence.
- Any attachments with the file extension *.png are just QEOP logos, etc automatically linked to the email and have not been included.

Q1. Please can you release all correspondence between Network Rail, DB Cargo, the LLDC and the Mayor of London (Head of the LLDC) between 2010-2013.

We are specifically interested in correspondence relating to Bow Goods Yard and conversations/emails/letters etc. that specifically relate to the land being rezoned as strategic industrial

Please note that Bow East Goods Yard was designated as Strategic Industrial Land in the London Plan (2011) and prior to that as a Principal Employment Area in the adopted Newham Unitary Development Plan (2001). The Corporation's Local Plan (2015) has included that strategic designation for the Bow east and West site. Also, the Planning Policy and Decisions team advised that the Bow Goods Yard could also be referred to as Bow East Goods Yard or Bow Goods Yard East as there is an area identified as Bow West.

Searches were run across the email archive for all correspondence between the Legacy Corporation and the email domain of each of the parties requested, that was sent or received between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2013 and which contained either the search terms "Bow Good Yard", "Bow East" or "rezon*¹".

The Legacy Corporation does not hold information in relation to the above request for the terms "Bow Goods Yard" or "Rezon*¹".

The relevant "Bow East" search results are attached in **Annex A**.

Please be advised that information has been redacted under s.40 – personal information.

Section 40(2) –personal information

*(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if –
(a) it constitutes personal data which does not fall within subsection (1), and
(b) the first, second or third condition below is satisfied.*

¹ Please note: The asterisk allows for a wildcard search within the email archive, which means that the search results would include any reference to rezone, rezoned or rezoning.

It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information for those members of staff under Head of Service level, and for non-Legacy Corporation personnel unless consent to release the information has been received. Phone numbers have also been redacted.

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within s.3(2) of the Data Protection Act 2018.

Q2. Please can we also receive copies all correspondence between network rail, Peter Hendy, DB Cargo, S Walsh and Son and the Mayor of London as head of the LLDC, relating to all activities, investigations, complaints and plans for Bow Goods Yard, Bobby Moore Academy and the proposed concrete factories from 2015 to the current date.

This has been clarified as correspond between the London Legacy and any of these parties as opposed to all of them.

Searches were run across the email archive for all correspondence between Peter Hendy and the email domain of each of the parties requested, that was sent or received between 1 January 2015 and 25 September 2019 and which contained either the search term “bow goods yard”, “bow east”, “concrete” or “bobby moore”.

The emails identified as relevant are attached in **Annex B**.

Please be advised that information has been redacted under s.40 – personal information.

Section 40(2) –personal information

*(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if –
(a) it constitutes personal data which does not fall within subsection (1), and
(b) the first, second or third condition below is satisfied.*

It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information for those members of staff under Head of Service level, and for non-Legacy Corporation personnel unless consent to release the information has been received. Phone numbers have also been redacted.

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within s.3(2) of the Data Protection Act 2018.

Q3: Please can you send me all emails sent and received by Peter Hendy to and from Network Rail and the LLDC. I'm particularly focussed on all emails relating to activity on Bow Goods Yard and all conversations that relate to the proposed concrete factory and also the aftermath of the previously unsuccessful concrete factory.

Searches were run across the email archive for all correspondence between Peter Hendy and the email domains of Network Rail or the Legacy Corporation that were sent or received on any date up to 25 September 2019 and which contained either the search terms “bow goods yard”, “bow east” or “concrete”.

The emails identified as relevant are attached in **Annex C**.

Please be advised that information has been redacted under s.40 – personal information.

Section 40(2) –personal information

*(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if –
(a) it constitutes personal data which does not fall within subsection (1), and
(b) the first, second or third condition below is satisfied.*

It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information for those members of staff under Head of Service level, and for non-Legacy Corporation personnel unless consent to release the information has been received. Phone numbers have also been redacted.

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within s.3(2) of the Data Protection Act 2018.

Q4: Please can you also action a similar request for Anthony Hollingsworth and all emails to and from Network Rail

Searches were run across the email archive for all correspondence between Anthony Hollingsworth and the email domains of Network Rail or the Legacy Corporation that were sent or received on any date up to 25 September 2019 and which contained either the search terms “bow goods yard”, “bow east” or “concrete”.

The emails identified as relevant are attached in **Annex D**.

Please be advised that information has been redacted under s.40 – personal information.

Section 40(2) –personal information

*(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if –
(a) it constitutes personal data which does not fall within subsection (1), and
(b) the first, second or third condition below is satisfied.*

It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information for those members of staff under Head of Service level, and for non-Legacy Corporation personnel unless consent to release the information has been received. Phone numbers have also been redacted.

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within s.3(2) of the Data Protection Act 2018.

Q5: Finally please can you provide copies of all emails sent to Peter Hendy - in his role as chairman of the LLDC - regarding bow Goods Yard and all operations of Network Rail, S Walsh and Son Sivyer.

Searches were run across the email archive for all correspondence sent to Peter Hendy and received on any date up to 25 September 2019 and which contained either the search terms “bow goods yard” or “bow east”.

The emails identified as relevant are attached in **Annex E**.

Please be advised that information has been redacted under s.40 – personal information.

Section 40(2) –personal information

*(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if –
(a) it constitutes personal data which does not fall within subsection (1), and
(b) the first, second or third condition below is satisfied.*

It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information for those members of staff under Head of Service level, and for non-Legacy Corporation personnel unless consent to release the information has been received. Phone numbers have also been redacted.

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within s.3(2) of the Data Protection Act 2018.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request and wish to make a complaint or request an internal review of our decision, you should write to:

Deputy Chief Executive
London Legacy Development Corporation
Level 10, 1 Stratford Place
Montfichet Road
London, E20 1EJ

Email: FOI@londonlegacy.co.uk

Please note: complaints and requests for internal review received more than two months after the initial response will not be handled.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may appeal directly to the Information Commissioner at the address given below. You should do this within two months of our final decision. There is no charge for making an appeal.

Further information on the Freedom of Information Act 2000 is available from the Information Commissioner's Office:

Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
SK9 5AF

Telephone 08456 30 60 60 or 01625 54 57 45

Website www.ico.gov.uk

Yours sincerely

FOI / EIR Co-ordinator
London Legacy Development Corporation