V10v 26 June 2018 **East Bank** 

16 Changes in

Risk that changes in Government legislation (including leaving the EU) lead to increased construction costs. Other changes might include, but not be limited to, tax or building control

50-80% Control Rating Updates in blue italics L 30-50% 10-30% **Programme Risk Register** 1 - Optimal ome shor fall in level of controls but these do not materially affect 2 - Adequate the level of residual risk Residual Risk Rating (after Current Controls, but without 3 - Inadequate nefficienct controls do not treat the risk as intended. (before Current Controls, or Control Action Plans) 4 - Weak ppropriate controls do not address risk **CONFIDENTIAL** Control Action Plan Existing controls evidenced as working asures/processes already in place to reduce/eliminate the cause i.e. reduce the likelihood of the event occurring) Reactive) (What can go wrong affecting achievement of business objectives)

Format: EVENT leading to CONSEQUENCE resulting in Root Causes (how/why it can go wrong) neasures alrea in place to reduce anned actions to upgrade controls or EFFECT on BUSINESS OBJECTIVE (<u>forecast)</u> Action completion consequence/im act of the event.

Political engagement [C1]
 Periodic reports from cost consultants on macro-economic impacts upon TPI and scheme fix [C1 - C2]

							Financia	Scales	Stakeholders				
Rating	Likelihood	Description	Missed Objectives	Schedule	Safety & Security	Environment & Sustainability	Revenue income loss (net to LLDC)	Capital Cost to Programme	Partner Engagement	Reputation	Legal		
1	1 = <10%		finishes, paintwork, etc.			Short term local damage - e.g. incorrect completion of waste transfer note for waste removal from site	£0 - £1M	£0 - £1M	Visible drop off in enthusiasm or participation in discretionary activity	No Press coverage - impact managed internally without stakeholder engagement or relationships maintained with no press coverage	Improvement notice		
2	2 = 11-30%		utilised in lieu of original specs - e.g.	Interruption to non-critical process - up to one month delay to work	,	Medium term local damage, short term regional damage - e.g. minor localised spillage on site to ground or disturb nesting birds	£1M - £5M	£1M - £5M	Distinct withdrawal of discretionary activity	with minor stakeholder engagement, a reduced	Prohibition notice or Injunction		
3	3 = 31-50%		usability - e.g. relocation of building,	Lengthy interruption to non-critical process - up to two month's delay to work		Long term local or regional damage - e.g. Section 60 notice served on a site from Local Authority. Potential impact on programme/method of construction or missing ecological survey windows, resulting in delay to project and/or more expensive mitigation options	£5M - £10M	£5M - £10M	Withdrawal of discretionary activity at senior management levels.		Prosecution with fine or sued by third party		
4	4 = 51-70%		significantly deterring use of scheme	process - up to four month's delay to work		Long term widespread (ie off-site) damage - e.g. groundwater pollution incident, resulting in revision to construction techniques and programme of pollution monitoring.	£10M - £20M		Relationships across the matrix become dysfunctional	long term reputational effect, senior management engagement with stakeholders to promote and achieve strategic business objectives with	Directors charged, public enquiry, compensation claims		

C1 - Changes to statutory authority, legislation, policy and/or regulation changes or additional requirements e.g. fire safety regulations affecting technical specifications and residential operational/occupancy arrangements

_	Control Ratin			***Cun	maacquate	risequote	opania.					
٦	Control Raun	Б	5	4	3	2	1					
ı		Non-exis	tent	- Not clearly defined	- Controls defined	- Effective deployment	- Effective deployment					
1	<u>8</u>		- Too limited - Inadequate deployment			- Comprehensive but Not fully	- Comprehensive					
ᅥ	5			- Conflicts with current	- Unable to treat risk as intended	aligned or complementary	- aligned to correctly target root causes and / or impacts					
ı	<u> </u>			organisational design /	- Not correctly aligned with root	- fully complied with	- Commensurate with an approved level of residual risk					
-	o o			accountabilities	causes and/or mpacts	- Periodically monitored	- Appropriately and systematically monitored					
Ų	<u> </u>			- Contradicts current	- Unl kely to achieve predicted level	- Unnecessary duplication across	- Regularly and robustly reviewed for appropriateness and continuous					
ı	l ö			established processes	of mitigation	the organisation	improvement					
ı	-				- Not fully integrated with current	- Subject to complex	- Ev denced as working					
ı	1 =				established processes	responsibilities / accountabilities	- fully complied with					
h	0				- Not appropriately monitored or	- Out of step with organisational	- Reasonably pract cable					
ı	"				reviewed	design	- Assessed by competent staff (with authority to improve control)					
ı	Assurance indica	ator '	ow / Non istent	Low	Uncertain and/or raises concerns	Correct: Appreciation stated by Manager or Expert	High: Appreciation founded on objective basis (audits, etc)					
⅃				fore risk is live	- available time	Velocity Rating (Speed with which risk impacts the						
ı	to implem		ols)			programme if it occurs)						
- 1	Score Des	cription				Score Description						

Business/project activity to which risk relates is due to

Building cas for increased funding if risk

occurs 2) Financial provision include in project risk register supported by QRA modelling [R540] >80%

Assess impact of emerging legislation and regulation changes

Ref No	Н	Heading	Risk (What can go wrong affecting achievement of business objectives) Format: EVENT leading to CONSEQUENCE resulting in EFFECT on BUSINESS OBJECTIVE			Root Causes (how/why it can go wrong)		Owner		use (Preventative) Cor easures/processes alrea	Current Cont Existing controls eviden ntrols dy in place to reduce/eliminate the cause i.e. reduc	ced as working	he event occurring	ing) Impact (Reactive) Controls (measures already in place to reduce the consequence/imp act of the event, should it occur)	Missed objectives Safety & Security Schedule Sustainability/Environment Amerix Zone Matrix Zone Proximity/Velocity	Root Cause (Action) Owner	Current Control Rating	(fore	anned / orecast) tion mpletion te
*	5	5 = >70%	Severe	second museum, etc.  Complete failure to deliver a lasting change to the locality - to meet core LLDC purpose	critical process - more	fatalities or	Permanent widespread damage - e.g. corporate sustainability strategy fails to deliver, does not meet market or Stakeholder requirements	£20M+	£20M+	Relationships across the matrix breakdown, open undermining of business objectives and activity	Major national and international adverse press coverage - sustained opposition from stakeholders preventing the achievement of short	exceeding £1m  Directors convicted, compensation payment exceeding £2m	1 Acti	otivity to which risk relates is months otivity to which risk relates is 3 months For live risks - only use \	s due to commence within	1	mon	event will impact the business/project with	

20c East bank prog risk register (Brexit risks only) v0.1