

LLDC - East Wick and Sweetwater

Evaluation of CFFT Responses - October 2014

Instructions to evaluators

The evaluation sheet in the 'Eval Sheet' tab below has been designed to assist us with tracking our evaluation of the CFFT responses.

Please complete your relevant section of the evaluation sheet in the 'Eval Sheet' tab below.

Against the relevant criteria / sub-criteria please add the score in the corresponding shaded row.

Please add further details and justification for your score in the box below this.

Please be as detailed as possible in your justification of the scoring as this will be used directly in the feedback to Bidders and will save revisiting your scores during the feedback period.

In your evaluation comments please refer to each element of the award criteria as detailed in the evaluation guidance document.

If you have any question please contact x or x

Thank you

LLDC - East Wick and Sweetwater

Evaluation of CFFT Responses October 2014

CFFT Evaluation Scoring Matrix	Section Weighting (%)	Sub-Weighting (%)
General Information Received / Change in Circumstances		
Information Received - Applicants have submitted the general information requested.		
Changes in Circumstances		
Requirements to be Addressed in the Outline Solution		
1. QUALITY AND DELIVERABILITY	60	
1.1 Scheme Proposals and Design	24	
1.1.1 Urban Design & Masterplanning Approach		12
Comments		
1.1.2 Residential Typologies and Tenures		5
Comments		

CFFT Evaluation Scoring Matrix	Section Weighting (%)	Sub-Weighting (%)
1.1.3 Inclusive Design Comments		3
1.1.4 Sustainability Comments		4
TOTAL FOR DESIGN SECTION		
1.2 Approach to delivering Priority Themes:	9	
1.2.1 Community Engagement		3

CFFT Evaluation Scoring Matrix	Section Weighting (%)	Sub-Weighting (%)
Comments		
1.2.2 Socio-Economic Comments		6
TOTAL FOR SOCIO AND COMM ENGAGEMENT SECTION		

CFFT Evaluation Scoring Matrix	Section Weighting (%)	Sub-Weighting (%)
1.3 Delivery and Implementation	21	
1.3.1 Team Approach to delivery and implementation		18
Comments		
1.3.2 Legal		3
Comments		
TOTAL FOR DELIVERY AND IMPLEMENTATION		
1.4 Structure and Funding	6	
1.4.1 Structure and Funding		6

CFFT Evaluation Scoring Matrix	Section Weighting (%)	Sub-Weighting (%)
Comments		
TOTAL FOR QUALITY AND DELIVERABILITY		
2. COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL	40	
2.1 Commercial Drivers	12	
2.1.1 Commercial Drivers, approach to sales, lettings and marketing and risk		12
Comments		
2.2 Financial		
2.2.1 Share of Income to Contracting Authority	28	
Comments		20
2.2.2. Infrastructure Price Justification		
Comments		2
2.2.3 Infrastructure Price		
Comments		4
2.2.4 Infrastructure Price discount		
Comments		2
1. QUALITY AND DELIVERABILITY (WEIGHTED)	60	
2. COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL (WEIGHTED)	40	
TOTAL SCORE (WEIGHTED)	100	
Stage 1 Evaluation Ranking		

Standard of Response	Marks available for tranche
Fundamentally unacceptable response. No answer to a question or request for evidence.	0
Poor response. Insufficient information provided and/or the information provided is of poor quality.	1 - 20
Basic response that provides evidence against the requirement, but unsatisfactory in others, and/or has a number of key omissions or weaknesses.	21 - 40
Response generally of a good standard, although there are a small number of omissions and/or weaknesses. Minor gaps in evidence provided.	41 - 60
Very good standard of response without any significant issues. Provides robust evidence in all material areas.	61 - 80
Excellent response demonstrating outstanding benefit to the Legacy Company. Response is comprehensive, robust and detailed.	81 - 100