



15 December 2016

INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE 16112

Dear

Thank you for your information request, received on 28 October 2016. You asked the London Legacy Development Corporation (Legacy Corporation) to provide the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

“In previous correspondence you informed me that Balfour Beatty would hand over a completion Certificate to you in 2016. Please may I have a copy of that Certificate please.”

The Legacy Corporation confirm that they hold this information. Please find the Balfour Beatty completion certificate in Annex A.

Information has been redacted in this certificate under the following exemptions:

Page	Exemption	Description
1	s.40(2) – personal information	Originator
1	s.40(2) – personal information	From: Name
1	s.40(2) – personal information	To: Name
1	s.31(1)(a) – prevention of crime	Three bullet points – specific security systems
2	s.40(2) – personal information	Name & Signature MacePMP
2	s.40(2) – personal information	Name & Signature Balfour Beatty Group Ltd
3	s.40(2) – personal information	BB Owner – names
4	s.40(2) – personal information	BB Owner – names
4	s.31(1)(a) – prevention of crime	Security snag

Section 31 - Law enforcement.

(1) Information which is not exempt information by virtue of section 30 is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice—

(a) the prevention or detection of crime

The section 31 exemption is a qualified exemption and subject to the prejudice test and the public interest test. Under the prejudice test we have to consider if disclosure of this information would, or would be likely to, prejudice the prevention of crime. Consideration is

also given to the harm disclosing this information would be likely to cause, combined with other information already in the public domain (mosaic effect) or possibly released at a future date (precedent effect). The public interest test considers and balances the public interest in disclosing this information against the public interest in not disclosing this information and uses this assessment to decide whether there is sufficient justification in withholding this information under this exemption.

Information disclosed under the FOIA is considered to be public information, and while there is a presumption towards disclosure, consideration needs to be given as to who will have access to this information beyond the requestor, and the purposes for which they could use the information.

The Legacy Corporation have assessed the impact of releasing this information and consider that the public interest in this particular information, namely details of specific aspects of the security around the Stadium and snags remaining in relation to the security perimeter would not benefit from this information being released into the public domain. The security of the Stadium would be jeopardised, and the prevention of crime would be likely to be prejudiced. It is the view of the Legacy Corporation that the public interest in withholding the information outweighs the public interest in disclosing it.

Please note: It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information unless consent to release the information has been received.

Section 40(2) – personal information

*(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if—
(a) it constitutes personal data which do not fall within subsection (1), and
(b) either the first or the second condition below is satisfied.*

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within Section 1(1)(a) of the Data Protection Act 1998. The redacted information includes names & signatures. As we have not received consent of the data subjects', release of the requested information at this time would contravene the first data principle under Schedule 2(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to:

Deputy Chief Executive
London Legacy Development Corporation
Level 10
1 Stratford Place
Montfichet Road
London
E20 1EJ

Please note: complaints and requests for internal review received more than two months after the initial response will not be handled.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may appeal directly to the Information Commissioner at the address given below. You should do this within two months of our final decision. There is no charge for making an appeal.

Further information on the Freedom of Information Act 2000 is available from the Information Commissioner's Office:

Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
SK9 5AF

Telephone 08456 30 60 60 or 01625 54 57 45

Website www.ico.gov.uk

Yours sincerely



FOI / EIR Co-ordinator
London Legacy Development Corporation