

14 September 2016

INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE 16082

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your information request, received on 16 August 2016. You asked the London Legacy Development Corporation (Legacy Corporation) to provide the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

“Please provide all documentation relating to any variant design that was created at any stage of the process for bridge H14 and H16”

The Legacy Corporation can confirm that they hold the following information in relation to this request:

- 1) A feasibility option study produced by a Design Assistant in the Regeneration team in April 2014. This was a desktop exercise and was not supported by detailed designs / surveys / costings. Furthermore there was no budget available to take this forward. See attached **Annex A**.
- 2) An extract from a report prepared for the Executive Management Team (EMT) meeting on 21 July 2014. This report makes reference to a ‘new alignment for bridge H16’. The report was not formally submitted to EMT but was considered and rejected in favour of the original scheme due to potential additional costs to the public purse. See attached **Annex B**.

It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information unless consent to release the information has been received.

Section 40(2) – personal information

*(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if—
(a) it constitutes personal data which do not fall within subsection (1), and
(b) either the first or the second condition below is satisfied.*

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within Section 1(1)(a) of the Data Protection Act 1998. The redacted information includes the name and home address of the witnesses to this agreement. As we have not received consent of the data subject, release of the requested

information at this time would contravene the first data principle under Schedule 2(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998.

Please note that paragraph 6.3 has been redacted from the extract under section 43(2) of the FOIA as the information is considered to be commercially sensitive.

S.43(2) - Commercial interests.

(2) Information is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).

The section 43(2) is a qualified exemption and subject to the prejudice test and the public interest test. Under the prejudice test we have to consider if disclosure of this information would, or would be likely to, prejudice our commercial interests or the commercial interests of a third party. Consideration is also given to the harm disclosing this information would be likely to cause, combined with other information already in the public domain (mosaic effect) or possibly released at a future date (precedent effect). The public interest test considers and balances the public interest in disclosing this information against the public interest in not disclosing this information and uses this assessment to decide whether there is sufficient justification in withholding this information under this exemption.

Information disclosed under the FOIA is considered to be public information, and while there is a presumption towards disclosure, consideration needs to be given as to who will have access to this information beyond the requestor and the purposes for which they could use the information.

The Legacy Corporation have assessed the impact of releasing the information redacted under this exemption. There is, of course, a public interest in promoting transparency of the decisions and accountability in regards to the agreements that are entered into by public sector bodies. However, the disclosure of the information within this paragraph at this time would be likely to prejudice the Legacy Corporation commercial interests because it will reveal financial details which would be likely to impact on future negotiations which in turn would harm the Legacy Corporation's ability to achieve best value for the public purse.

It is the view of the Legacy Corporation that, at this time, the public interest in withholding the information outweighs the public interest in disclosing it.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to:

Executive Director of Finance and Corporate Services
London Legacy Development Corporation
Level 10
1 Stratford Place
Montfichet Road
London
E20 1EJ

Please note: complaints and requests for internal review received more than two months after the initial response will not be handled.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may appeal directly to the Information Commissioner at the address given below. You should do this within two months of our final decision. There is no charge for making an appeal.

Further information on the Freedom of Information Act 2000 is available from the Information Commissioner's Office:

Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
SK9 5AF

Telephone 08456 30 60 60 or 01625 54 57 45

Website www.ico.gov.uk

Yours sincerely



FOI / EIR Co-ordinator
London Legacy Development Corporation