From:			
To	foi		

To: <u>fo</u>

Subject: Internal review of Freedom of Information request - Public funding of extra-conversion Olympic Stadium

enhancements

Date: 27 May 2016 07:31:43

Dear

As ever, the LLDC takes the full 20 days to return even a refusal. I wish to request an internal review, but I will also be asking the Information Commissioner to take an interest given your handling of this and my previous requests.

- 1. I did not ask for a list of projects planned or or being undertaken by E20 alone, nor was the request specifically constrained to either the sole benefit of West Ham United or football. That improvements may benefit the stadium in other circumstances does not disqualify them from being "intended to enhance the stadium for football events".
- 2. In your response to 15-085 you make clear that all improvement works require the approval of E20. It is beyond imagination that the details of these works, therefore, is unknown to you.
- 3. I note your unequivocal statement that E20 has provided no funds towards the decoration of the seats [in West Ham United's colours] nor concourse decoration. I invite you to review the accuracy of this statement.
- 4. You have failed to explain why revealing the contribution of public funds to the stadium wrap as an example, is withheld under section 43(2). How many stadium wraps are there likely to be? There is a presumption for disclosure, so it is surely reasonable to expect you to fully justify how the commercial interests of E20 or a third party are prejudiced. Perhaps you are suggesting the contract for this work has yet to be finalised; I find this hard to believe given how soon West Ham move into the stadium, but if it is the case please advise when it will be and therefore when you will be able to provide an answer. This is not in my view reason to invoke 43(2) for an exemption. I suspect the answer lies more in fears E20 will be seen once again to be funding the majority of a project to the primary benefit of a private enterprise. I remind you, West Ham do not own the stadium and you are accountable to citizens for the use of public funds.
- 5. The LLDC has once again failed to address the question of the football mode improvements from 54,000 to 60,000 seats, and I respectfully remind you that your inadequate response to 15-085 has prompted a second internal review and a complaint to the ICO. It is widely understood that the regulations of UEFA and the Premier League constrained the maximum capacity in football mode to 54,000. Karren Brady stated explicitly that WHU were looking to expand the capacity to 60,000 not that they were just going to use the 6,000 seats that were expected to be unusable in football mode. Are you seriously suggesting that this has not required any work whatsoever?

I am increasingly agitated by the LLDC's attitude towards your accountability to the public for the use of their funds, and the flagrant disregard you have for the FOIA and associated good practices. This response did not need the full 20 days to be sent, and once again the LLDC are using every trick in the book to delay providing information. You would think you might have learned your lesson from the public dressing down you received over the Concessionaire Agreement request.

I will be asking the Information Commissioner to take a keener interest in your responses in general on these matters. In the meantime, I presume the LLDC wishes to embrace the spirit of the FOIA and complete the internal review promptly and at the very worst within the 20 days indicated by the ICO's good practice guidance. Not the 53 days it took on a previous request of mine.

_		_	_	
Yours sincerely,				
Original Massage	0			

Dear

Please find attached our response to your information request ref: 16041.

Yours sincerely,



Email: [LLDC request email]

Website: www.QueenElizabethOlympicPark.co.uk

Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park is now open. For more information please visit www.QueenElizabethOlympicPark.co.uk

Please use this email address for all replies to this request:

Disclaimer: This message and any reply that you make will be published on the internet. Our privacy and copyright policies:

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/officers

For more detailed guidance on safely disclosing information, read the latest advice from the ICO: https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/help/ico-guidance-for-authorities

If you find this service useful as an FOI officer, please ask your web manager to link to us from your organisation's FOI page.
