

**From:** [foi](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]@ico.org.uk; [REDACTED]@ico.org.uk; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: MORTAL DANGER TO THE REALM AND THE GENREAL PUBLIC AT THE QUEEN ELIZABETH SPORTS STDIUM  
**Date:** 15 October 2015 15:38:00  
**Attachments:** [15-060 response v1.0.pdf](#)

---

Dear [REDACTED]

Given the broad request and nature of the search terms that had to be used, all of the search results would have to be reviewed in order to identify which were relevant to your request and which were not. Estimating on the basis that 10 records could be reviewed every minute, reviewing over 166,000 records would take longer than 276 hours. We do not have the resources to undertake that exercise.

However, I acknowledge your request for an internal review of our response. This will have the reference 15-060.

I also acknowledge your new request for a copy of the SHE Plan and the approved copy if the EIA Plan for the Stadium site. The reference for this request is 15-068. A response will be sent to you within 20 working days (10 November 2015).

With regard to your revised request –

Please can you confirm that you are requesting - “copies of all correspondence, approvals, etc. including emails ref contamination remediation measures in relation to the Olympic Stadium and a radius of 300mts circumference of the Olympic Stadium (300mts x 360 degrees from the Centre Spot of the Pitch).” ?

As you have requested an internal review of our original response, this revised request will need to be given a new reference so that it can be distinguished from that review. The reference for this request will be 15-069.

With regard to your original request, given the subject matter consideration was given to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) as well as the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (EIR). In so far as the FOIA applied, your request was refused under section 12, and in so far as the EIR applied your request was refused under the exception 12(4)(b). The use of the manifestly unreasonable exception was on the basis that the request was considered too burdensome and it would result in an unreasonable diversion of limited resources and disruption to our ability to perform our functions.

Regards

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 13 October 2015 07:10  
**To:** foi

Cc: [REDACTED]@ico.org.uk; [REDACTED]@ico.org.uk; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: MORTAL DANGER TO THE REALM AND THE GENREAL PUBLIC AT THE QUEEN ELIZABETH SPORTS STDIUM

London Legacy

Dear Sirs

I acknowledge and thank you for your response to my FOIA ref CONTAMINATION REMEDIATION RISK ASSESSMENTS for the Olympic Village in London.

In line with the FOIA 2000, I now ask for a review on your response on the following grounds.

1. Your claims that it would exceed the £450 ceiling limit is factually incorrect because all of the data I have requested is on an electronic database, thus the sought after data could be retrieved in less than a couple of hours by a competent IT Specialist.

2. The Public Safety Factor FAR outweighs any COST ceiling limitation.

3. Moreover and more importantly HM The Queen has been placed in Mortal Danger every time she visits the Olympic Park. Ditto for any other Royal Household Member. It is tantamount to TREASON that you consider £450 is TOO HIGH price to pay for HM Security. If it was down to me, I would send you to the Tower for Treason?!

4. In the event I need to downgrade my FOIA request, I am willing to do so to include the Olympic Stadium and a radius of 300mts circumference of the Olympic Stadium. Lets say 300mts x 360 degrees from the Centre Spot of the Pitch.

5. You now claim section 12(4)(b) as as the correct exemption for the EIR 2004 but you fail to inform me which Court Authority you have relied upon. I would assume it is the GIA/3037/2011 Dransfield v ICO. Please give me verse and chapter which Presidence you are reliant upon.

I also now wish to add to my request for a copy of the SHE Plan and the approved copy if the EIA Plan. I do not accept you comment that this case should now be treated as a new FOIA . I will however concede my request for the SHE and EIA data is a brand new request

For your information, action and files

With thanks

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you need further information.

On Mon, Oct 12, 2015 at 4:10 PM, foi <[foi@londonlegacy.co.uk](mailto:foi@londonlegacy.co.uk)> wrote:  
Dear [REDACTED]

Please find attached our response to your information request ref: 15-060.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 15 September 2015 07:04  
**To:** [REDACTED] foi  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] [\[REDACTED\]@ico.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@ico.org.uk); [REDACTED] [\[REDACTED\]@ico.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@ico.org.uk)  
**Subject:** MORTAL DANGER TO THE REALM AND THE GENREAL PUBLIC AT THE QUEEN ELIZABETH SPORTS STDIUM

Mayor of London

Dear [REDACTED]

My fears and concerns for the Realm and the General Public ref the subject title are FAR FAR worse than I had originally anticipated. I am on record with your office and the London Legacy Authority ref Lightning Protection Failures but that is my secondary concen. My primary concerns are related to the fact the Olympic Stadium and Village were built on an old Landfill Site which now offers serious Radioactive Waste threats along with other dangers from Throrium and Methane Gasses inter alia.

There is documented evidence that Balfour Beatty, Camden Council and the Environmental Agency have failed their fiduciary duty of care ref Contamination Remediation (CR) for the Olympic Project.


I would also add the Mayor Office 's and the London Legacy Authority to the long lists of FAILED Oversight Authorities ref CR..

It beggars belief the Olympic Stadium and the Olympic Village has been built smack bang on top of a Radioactive /Landfill Site WITHOUT adequate CONTAMINATION REMEDIATION Risk Assessments.

I look forward to your response.

With thanks

Yours sincerely

  
PS Please treat this as a FOIA request for copies of all correspondence, approvals etc including emails ref Contamination Remediation measures or please direct me to your FOIA disclosures site.

This communication and the information it contains is intended for the addressee only. It may be confidential, legally privileged and protected by law. Unauthorised use, copying or disclosure of any of it may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please contact me immediately by email or telephone and then delete the e-mail and its attachments from your system. This email and any attachments have been scanned for viruses by Symantec and on leaving the London Legacy Development Corporation they were virus free. No liability will be incurred for direct, special or indirect or consequential damages arising from alteration of the contents of this message by a third party or as a result of any virus contained within it or attached to it. The London Legacy Development Corporation may monitor traffic data. For enquiries please call 020 3288 1800.

London Legacy Development Corporation, Level 10, 1 Stratford Place, Montfichet Road, London, E20 1EJ.

[www.queenelizabetholympicpark.co.uk](http://www.queenelizabetholympicpark.co.uk)

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---