



31 July 2015

INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE 15-031

Dear 

Thank you for your information request, received on 3 June 2015. You asked us to provide the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

"We would like to request, as a Freedom of Information request, copies of correspondence between the developer, and/or the LLDC, and Transport for London /Newham/Tower Hamlets or Hackney council officers regarding the Aldgate to Hainault cycle Quietway. If there has been no such correspondence can you please let us know that that is the case."

As the correspondence is in relation to land development the London Legacy Development Corporation (Legacy Corporation) has responded to your request under the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

The Legacy Corporation does not hold correspondence on Quietway with a developer, please be advised that there is no Quietway developer at this time.

I can confirm that the Legacy Corporation holds correspondence on Quietway with the Greater London Authority (GLA), the London Borough of Hackney (LBH), the London Borough of Newham (LBN) and Transport for London (TfL). The majority of our correspondence with these parties is in relation to the Wallis Road Bridge, or H10 Bridge, which is part of the Quietway.

The correspondence has been attached in **Annex A**.

The Schedule of Redactions and the associated exceptions is attached in **Annex B**.

Certain information within the correspondence has been redacted. Details of the redactions are provided below.

*Regulation 12(4) ... a public authority may refuse to disclose information to the extent that—
(d) the request relates to material which is still in the course of completion, to unfinished documents or to incomplete data;*

The email of 2 December 2014 (page 44) contains an attachment for draft minutes for a meeting held on 17 November 2014. These minutes have been withheld under regulation

12(4)(d) of EIR as they are in draft, however the final version of the minutes are included as an attachment of a later email (page 185).

It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information unless explicit consent to release has been received. As such, names and other contact details have been redacted, in line with Regulation 13(1) of the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 regarding personal data. This Regulation states that a public authority shall not disclose personal data, to the extent that the requested information includes personal data of which the applicant is not the data subject and as respects which the conditions in either Regulation 13(2) or Regulation 13(3) apply. In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is Regulation 13(2)(a)(i), whereby the information is defined as personal data within Section 1(1)(a) of the Data Protection Act 1998. As we have not received consent of the data subjects, release of the requested information at this time would contravene the first data principle under Schedule 2(1).

Regulation 12(5)...a public authority may refuse to disclose information to the extent that its disclosure would adversely affect—

(e) the confidentiality of commercial or industrial information where such confidentiality is provided by law to protect a legitimate economic interest;

The information redacted under this exception is considered commercially sensitive. The redacted information in the email of 20 March 2015 does not relate to the H10 bridge or the Quietway. The information on the other projects summarised within this email, if disclosed at this time, would harm the commercial and economic interests of these projects.

Regulation 12(5)...a public authority may refuse to disclose information to the extent that its disclosure would adversely affect—

(f) the interests of the person who provided the information where that person—

(ii) did not supply it in circumstances such that that or any other public authority is entitled apart from these Regulations to disclose it; and

(iii) has not consented to its disclosure;

The information that has been redaction relates to the secure hyperlink used to access the documents during their creation. The documents themselves have been attached after the relevant email correspondence and are in Annex A. The Legacy Corporation have assessed the redactions. Releasing this information could potentially introduce a security weakness into the systems in question. The Legacy Corporation consider that there is no public interest in disclosing this information.

Please note: The attachment Image001.png which is on some of the emails is the QEOP logo. This has not been included in the attachments.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to:

Executive Director of Finance and Corporate Services
London Legacy Development Corporation
Level 10
1 Stratford Place
Montfichet Road
London
E20 1EJ

Please note: complaints and requests for internal review received more than two months after the initial decision will not be handled.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may appeal directly to the Information Commissioner at the address given below. You should do this within two months of our final decision. There is no charge for making an appeal.

Further information on the Freedom of Information Act 2000 is available from the Information Commissioner's Office:

Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
SK9 5AF

Telephone 08456 30 60 60 or 01625 54 57 45

Website www.ico.gov.uk

Yours sincerely



FOI / EIR Co-ordinator
London Legacy Development Corporation