





9 June 2015

## **INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE 15-014**

Dear

Thank you for your information request, received on 16 March 2015. You asked us to provide the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

"Please...provide me with a copy of the leasehold agreement for the stadium (and its immediate essential ancillary assets) between London Legacy Development Corporation and E20 Stadium LLP. Could you please separately confirm the term of the lease and the remuneration due to LLDC from E20 Stadium LLP under the lease and as part of any side agreements, if such exist."

The London Legacy Development Corporation (Legacy Corporation) confirms that they hold the information you have requested. The lease between the Legacy Corporation and E20 Stadium LLP relating to premises known as Stadium Island Site, dated 20 December 2013 is attached in Annex A. Information within this lease has been withheld; the redactions and associated exemptions have been summarised in the Schedule of redactions attached in Annex B.

The lease between the Legacy Corporation and E20 Stadium LLP relating to premises known as the Community Track adjacent to the Olympic Stadium London, dated 20 December 2013 is attached in Annex C. Information within this lease has been withheld; the redactions and associated exemptions have been summarised in the Schedule of redactions attached in Annex D.

The exemptions used and the public interest assessments are below.

## Section 31(1)(a) - Law enforcement.

(1)Information which is not exempt information by virtue of section 30 is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice—
(a) the prevention or detection of crime

The section 31 exemption is a qualified exemption and subject to the prejudice test and the public interest test. Under the prejudice test we have to consider if disclosure of this information would, or would be likely to, prejudice the prevention of crime. Consideration is

also given to the harm disclosing this information would be likely to cause, combined with other information already in the public domain (mosaic effect) or possibly released at a future date (precedent effect). The public interest test considers and balances the public interest in disclosing this information against the public interest in not disclosing this information and uses this assessment to decide whether there is sufficient justification in withholding this information under this exemption.

Information disclosed under the FOIA is considered to be public information, and while there is a presumption towards disclosure, consideration needs to be given as to who will have access to this information beyond the requestor, and the purposes for which they could use the information.

The Legacy Corporation have assessed the impact of releasing this information and consider that the public interest in this particular information, namely details of the internal cash office and related security, would not benefit from this information being released into the public domain. The security of the Stadium would be jeopardised, and the prevention of crime would be likely to be prejudiced. It is the view of the Legacy Corporation that the public interest in withholding the information outweighs the public interest in disclosing it.

## Section 40(2) – personal information

- (2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if—
- (a) it constitutes personal data which do not fall within subsection (1), and
- (b) either the first or the second condition below is satisfied.

The section 40 exemption is absolute and is not subject to the public interest test. It is the standard practice of the Legacy Corporation to redact personal information unless consent to release the information has been received.

In this instance, the relevant condition that applies is section 40(2) whereby the information is defined as personal data within Section 1(1)(a) of the Data Protection Act 1998. The redacted information includes the signatures. As we have not received consent of the data subject, release of the requested information at this time would contravene the first data principle under Schedule 2(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998.

## Section 43(2) - Commercial interests.

(2)Information is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).

The section 43(2) is a qualified exemption and subject to the prejudice test and the public interest test. Under the prejudice test we have to consider if disclosure of this information would, or would be likely to, prejudice our commercial interests or the commercial interests of a third party. Consideration is also given to the harm disclosing this information would be likely to cause, combined with other information already in the public domain (mosaic effect) or possibly released at a future date (precedent effect). The public interest test considers and balances the public interest in disclosing this information and uses this assessment to decide whether there is sufficient justification in withholding this information under this exemption.

Information disclosed under the FOIA is considered to be public information, and while there is a presumption towards disclosure, consideration needs to be given as to who will have access to this information beyond the requestor and the purposes for which they could use the information.

The Legacy Corporation have assessed the impact of releasing the information redacted under this exemption. There is, of course, a public interest in promoting transparency of the decisions and accountability in regards to the agreements that are entered into by public sector bodies. However, the disclosure of the information within this agreement currently identified as commercially sensitive would be likely to prejudice commercial interests of the Stadium because it will reveal detail of financial information and future plans which would be likely impact on current and future negotiations for use of the Stadium, which in turn would harm the Stadium's ability to achieve best value for the public purse.

The Stadium needs to be able to successfully operate in a small, strong and very competitive market. The information identified as commercially sensitive, if disclosed, would be likely to put the Stadium at a competitive disadvantage within this market by allowing competitors of the Stadium, who are not subject to the same legislation, at gain access to commercially valuable information.

It is the view of the Legacy Corporation that, at this time, the public interest in withholding the information outweighs the public interest in disclosing it.

The term of both leases is to and including 1 September 2125. The remuneration has been withheld as disclosing this information at this time would be likely to compromise ongoing and future negotiations with commercial partners.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to:

Executive Director of Finance and Corporate Services
London Legacy Development Corporation
Level 10
1 Stratford Place
Montfichet Road
London
E20 1EJ

Please note: complaints and requests for internal review received more than two months after the initial decision will not be handled.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may appeal directly to the Information Commissioner at the address given below. You should do this within two months of our final decision. There is no charge for making an appeal.

Further information on the Freedom of Information Act 2000 is available from the Information Commissioner's Office:

Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow SK9 5AF

Telephone 08456 30 60 60 or 01625 54 57 45

Website <u>www.ico.gov.uk</u>

Yours sincerely

FOI / EIR Co-ordinator London Legacy Development Corporation