

Internal Review request (ref:14-044)	
a description/map of the sites (that make up the Chobham Manor site) and the tenures involved in each section of the site	<i>Please see seperately attached plan showing the current Chobham Manor boundary</i>
a description of the payments as they relate to each section of the site as described above and to each tenure.	<i>The LLDC only hold information on the payment OPLC made to LVRPA as released in your request reference 14-034</i>
As I understand it Chobham Manor is a different area from the map you have sent me as according to responses so far it includes some land from the LVRPA, none of which is included in the map you have sent me.	<i>Please see seperately attached plan showing the current Chobham Manor boundary</i>
The answer I received from the LLDC referred to land purchased from the LVRPA. The map I was sent did not include this area of land so I do not know, from the answers I have received, where this land is. I am assuming it is land from the former Eastway Cycle Circuit as this is referred to re the OPLC purchase but I am not clear where it is on the Cycle Circuit although plainly it must be adjacent to the former Clays Lane and/or Park Village sites.	<i>Please see seperately attached plan showing the current Chobham Manor boundary</i>
I have not actually received a description/map of the Chobham Manor site with the different former tenures/tenancies as the LLDC or GLA understand them. I marked out the sites as I remember them on the map provided but either the ODA or the LLDC or both must have a map of the different parcels of land and the tenures attached to them. The map for the cpo lands is referred to in the cpo document above	<i>Please see seperately attached plan showing the current Chobham Manor boundary in relation to the sites that you have previously identified in relation to your request reference 14-044.</i>
Nor have I received a description of the payments as they relate to each section of the Chobham Manor site.	<i>The LLDC do not hold information on all the payments as they relate to the Chobham Manor site.</i>

<p>Second, both the LLDC and the GLA state they have no further information apart from what they have already supplied. I don't understand how this can be the case. You will see that [REDACTED] provides more information about the purchases than you do.</p>	<p><i>The LLDC can only provide the information that we hold.</i></p>
<p>As stated, I am unclear about the location of the piece of land purchased by the OPLC from the LVRPA. You will note that [REDACTED] in 3) below refers to land on the Eastway Cycle Circuit as belonging to the LVRPA and as not being purchased by the LDA. As I say above, the map I was sent by [REDACTED] did not include any land on the Eastway Cycle Circuit which is now presumably incorporated into the Chobham Manor site.</p>	<p><i>Please see seperately attached plan showing the current Chobham Manor boundary</i></p>
<p>The GLA said in its reply on 3rd November 2014 a further payment had been paid to Newham for a 'leasehold interest' in the Clays Lane Estate. I understood Newham was the freeholder. You will see [REDACTED] refers to the freehold in her comment 1) below. Maybe this is a typographical error on the GLA's part. If not then who is the freeholder referred to by [REDACTED]?</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold this information. On closure of the LDA, certain parcels of land transferred to the OPLC, but the LDA financial information transferred to the GLA.</i></p>
<p>You will see that [REDACTED] also refers to the freehold of the Clays Lane Travellers' site which she says has been acquired but no price had been agreed. Your answers do not refer to this piece of land or the purchase of the freehold.</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold this information. On closure of the LDA, certain parcels of land transferred to the OPLC, but the LDA financial information transferred to the GLA.</i></p>
<p>I identified a number of other pieces of land, for example the roadway running past the Travellers' site, the Park Village site and up to the Clays Lane Estate. Neither the LLDC nor the GLA have mentioned this piece of land, which I would think belonged to Newham.</p>	<p><i>Please see seperately attached plan showing the current Chobham Manor boundary in relation to the sites that you have previously identified in relation to your request reference 14-044.</i></p>

<p>There were also the site of a pylon which stood on a small piece of land on the Clays Lane Travellers' site and a couple of electricity or water stations as marked on the map I returned. No mention is made of these pieces of land.</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold this information. On closure of the LDA, certain parcels of land transferred to the OPLC, but the LDA financial information transferred to the GLA.</i></p>
<p>These should be known from the Compulsory Purchase Order 2005 list of properties.</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold a copy of the Compulsory Purchase Order 2005 - see LLDC response to your request reference 14-053</i></p>
<p>For example the document I have referred to above contains a list of properties which cross refer with the map for the CPO (mentioned in the document). Under 'number on map 349' there is reference to Thames Water owning a pumping station (622) north of Tweedale Court, Clays Lane, which I marked on the map I was sent by [REDACTED]. Likewise, the same document, number 352, refers to a small area of public road owned by the London Borough of Newham. Number 353 includes references to electricity pylon, pumping station, public road, footways and verge known as Clays Lane, all of which I marked on the map I was sent. I am unclear if any of Temple Mills Lane was purchased as part of the Chobham Manor lands as I don't know if the road is still on its original alignment but it featured on the map [REDACTED] sent me</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold a copy of the Compulsory Purchase Order 2005 - see LLDC response to your request reference 14-053</i></p>
<p>As I said before I do not think the map I received was a map of the Chobham Manor site overlaid on or showing the previous pre-Olympic sites as I requested as it didn't include any part of the Eastway Cycle Circuit from what I could make out.</p>	<p><i>Please see seperately attached plan showing the current Chobham Manor boundary.</i></p>
<p>Regarding the CPO Schedule document I refer to above the GLA, and possibly the LLDC, should have the maps (actually a set of 14 maps) of the compulsory purchase order lands setting out all these interests.</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold this information - see LLDC response to your request reference 14-053</i></p>

I will make a separate request, which will follow, for these maps.	<i>The LLDC does not hold this information - see LLDC response to your request reference 14-053</i>
LDA response letter (undated 2010)	<i>No LLDC response required.</i>
If it is helpful, I address each aspect of your request below for the sake of clarity (and noting that the leasehold interest question is only relevant to the Clays Lane Estate):	<i>No LLDC response required.</i>
1) Clays Lane Estate - Leasehold price (Land:£15,000,000.00 and Disturbance:£630,339.86) as disclosed in our letter of 8th January 2010. Whilst the freehold has been acquired, the freehold price has not yet been agreed or paid. The LDA does not therefore hold information concerning how much was paid to the original freeholder at this stage;	<i>The LLDC does not hold this information. On closure of the LDA, certain parcels of land transferred to the OPLC, but the LDA financial information transferred to the GLA.</i>
2) Park Village Estate - Freehold price (£15,229,110.00) as disclosed in our letter of 8th January 2010;	<i>No LLDC response required.</i>
3) Eastway cycle circuit - The LDA does not own this site and does not therefore hold the information sought on this site (as stated in our letter of 23rd October 2008). The LDA has the ability to enter into a lease of this land with Lee Valley Regional Park Authority but the lease arrangements have yet to be agreed;	<i>The LLDC owns this site, however it was part of the LDA land transfer to OPLC. The LLDC do not hold information on any LDA payment in relation to this site.</i>

<p>4) Manor Garden allotments - The LDA does not own the majority of this site and does not therefore hold the information sought on this site (as stated in our letter of 23rd October 2008). I say 'majority' because since our initial response, the LDA has acquired the freehold ownership of a small part of the Manor Garden Allotment site, in agreement with the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority. This was acquired without payment on a temporary basis and will shortly be transferred back to Lee Valley Regional Park Authority. This was done for technical reasons to allow the Lea Valley Regional Park Authority to regularise their title to the land;</p>	<p><i>No LLDC response required.</i></p>
<p>5) Waterden Rd Travellers site - The freehold interest in this site has been acquired but no price has yet been agreed or paid to the original freeholder. The LDA does not therefore hold information concerning how much was paid to the original freeholder at this stage;</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold this information. On closure of the LDA, certain parcels of land transferred to the OPLC, but the LDA financial information transferred to the GLA.</i></p>
<p>6) Clays Lane Travellers site - The freehold interest in this site has been acquired but no price has yet been agreed or paid to the original freeholder. The LDA does not therefore hold information concerning how much was paid to the original freeholder at this stage;</p>	<p><i>The LLDC does not hold this information. On closure of the LDA, certain parcels of land transferred to the OPLC, but the LDA financial information transferred to the GLA.</i></p>
<p>7) Eastway Sports Centre - The LDA does not own this site and does not therefore hold the information sought on this (as stated in our letter of 23rd October 2008). The LDA has the ability to enter into a lease of this land with Lee Valley Regional Park Authority but the lease arrangements have yet to be agreed;</p>	<p><i>If the Eastway Sports Centre is Eton Manor, then LVRPA own this site. The LLDC do not hold the information requested.</i></p>

<p>8) East Marsh - The LDA does not own this site and does not therefore hold the information sought on this (as stated in our letter of 23rd October 2008). The LDA has the ability to enter into a lease of this land with the London Borough of Hackney but the lease arrangements have yet to be agreed.</p>	<p><i>The London Borough of Hackney still own this lease. The LLDC do not hold the information requested.</i></p>
<p>As regards the "disturbance" payment in respect of Clays Lane, this comprises:</p>	<p><i>No LLDC response required.</i></p>
<p>* £64,982.11 (inc VAT) Legal fees (Trowers and Hamlins)</p>	<p><i>No LLDC response required.</i></p>
<p>* £63,608.32 (inc VAT) Property consultants' fees (Savills and Red Loft)</p>	<p><i>No LLDC response required.</i></p>
<p>* £501,809.43 Rental voids</p>	<p><i>No LLDC response required.</i></p>
<p>As regards your additional request referred to by the ICO in their e-mail below as to any leases taken out on e.g. East Marsh and Eastway Sports Centre, this would appear to us to be outside the scope of your original request for information concerning amounts paid to leaseholders and freeholders. We are very happy to deal with this as a fresh request however and with that in mind it would be helpful if you could let me know the specific details of the information you seek and the LDA will respond accordingly.</p>	<p><i>No LLDC response required.</i></p>