



PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Officer File Note_ Preview Session_29th November 2012

Notes

- Two visitors attended the 1.5 hour session.
- No questions were directed towards the LPA
- The first guest was a LBN Urban Design Officer who was generally supportive of the scheme.
- The second guest was the Architect for the McGraths site, who currently has a planning application in for consideration on Wallis Road.

Officer File Note_ Public Drop in Session_1st December 2012

Actions/Direct Questions to Planning Officer about application (and other queries)

1. [REDACTED] (related to application)

Actions

- Asked for copies of submitted documents – Planning Statement + Amenity and Open Space Document

Provided email address - [REDACTED]

Should be - [REDACTED]

Questions

[REDACTED] When will LBTH be responding?

ADM – Noted that LBTH Officers had requested further time to provide a response and would be considering the application at a Planning Contributions Overview Panel (PCOP) in early December. ADM noted that a committee date had not been identified.

[REDACTED] Commented that this meant they were 'figuring out what they wanted'.

[REDACTED] Asked what the LLDC's view was on the school and the situation with AH

ADM – Responded that the FIAAP, which was very recently adopted, identified a school on the site. The supporting wording in the FIAAP identified the school as a priority which should be considered to have primacy ahead of non-transport needs for any scheme on the site. LLDC would assess the application against this and other policies when considering AH provision.

[REDACTED] Noted he had been involved in the FIAAP process and thought that the policy suggested that the school allocation was either the NW site or the school within PDZ 4 LCS. [REDACTED] asked how this impacted the LCS school in PDZ 4.

ADM – Responded that the LCS was a parameters based outline application which sought and gained approval for a school/D use class floorspace within the southern extent of PDZ 4 and as this is what had been given permission this was what was expected to come forward.

██████████ noted that ██████████
██████████ would be reviewing the information and may make comments.

Response -

Planning Application for Neptune Wharf (LLDC Ref. 12/00210/OUT)

██████████

Further to your request made at the public exhibition held at the White Building on the 1st December, please find the following two documents attached;

- ‘Amenity and Open Space Report’ submitted in support of Planning Application ref: 12/00210
- ‘Planning & Regeneration Policy Analysis’ submitted in support of Planning Application ref: 12/00210

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Allison

