



# Strategic Environmental Assessment and Sustainability Appraisal

Local Plan

---

Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal Report

May 2015



**Hyder Consulting (UK) Limited**

2212959

Manning House  
22 Carlisle Place  
London SW1P 1JA  
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)20 3014 9000

Fax: +44 (0)20 7828 8428

www.hyderconsulting.com



# London Legacy Development Corporation

## Strategic Environmental Assessment and Sustainability Appraisal

### Local Plan

---

#### Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal Report

**Author**

Petya Georgieva

Handwritten signature of Petya Georgieva in black ink.

**Checker**

David Hourd

Handwritten signature of David Hourd in black ink.

**Approver**

Caroline Soubry-Smith

Handwritten signature of Caroline Soubry-Smith in black ink.

**Report No**

004-UA005031-UE31-01

**Date**

May 2015

This report has been prepared for the London Legacy Development Corporation in accordance with the terms and conditions of appointment for the Local Plan dated 13 September 2012. Hyder Consulting (UK) Limited (2212959) cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this report by any third party.





# CONTENTS

---

1	Introduction .....	2
1.1	Purpose of this Addendum .....	2
1.2	Background to the LLDC Local Plan.....	2
1.3	The SA Process.....	3
2	Review of significant changes to the Local Plan .....	5
3	Conclusions and Next Steps .....	34

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose of this Addendum

1.1.1 The London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) is currently in the latter stages of the process of preparing its Local Plan. As part of this process, a combined Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) was undertaken in 2013 and 2014 to assess the potential effects from the implementation of the Local Plan.

1.1.2 Following the Submission of the Local Plan for Examination on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2014 and the subsequent public hearings held between 3<sup>rd</sup> March and 12<sup>th</sup> March 2015 by the planning inspector appointed by the Secretary of State, a number of Main Modifications and Other Minor Modifications to the Local Plan were proposed. This report addresses those changes and updates the findings of the 2014 SA Report. It should be read as an addendum to the 2014 SA Report.

## 1.2 Background to the LLDC Local Plan

1.2.1 Once adopted, the LLDC Local Plan will provide the planning policy framework to deliver the vision, goals and aspirations for the Legacy Corporation area. The precise area affected ('the Legacy Corporation planning boundary') includes parts of the London Boroughs of Hackney, Newham, Tower Hamlets and Waltham Forest. The planning area covers the whole of Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park. The Local Plan together with the London Plan will guide development within the Legacy Corporation area and will be used in the determination of future planning applications.

1.2.2 The preparation of the Local Plan commenced in October 2012 when planning powers were transferred to LLDC and an initial consultation on 'what the Local Plan should cover' ran between 12<sup>th</sup> November and 12<sup>th</sup> December 2012, at which point the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report was also consulted upon. Representations received from stakeholders and the public were considered when drafting the Local Plan and the corresponding SA Report.

1.2.3 The SA Report and the Local Plan were consulted upon between December 2013 – February 2014 in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Following the receipt of representations, LLDC reviewed the feedback and revised the plan as appropriate. Policies which share common objectives were combined and new policies and topics were introduced taking into consideration the consultation comments and SA recommendations.

1.2.4 The Local Plan and the Final SA were issued to all key stakeholders (including statutory consultees and the public) in the summer of 2014 for comment. Following the close of the consultation period, LLDC reviewed the feedback and revised the Local Plan as

appropriate. This updated version of the Local Plan is known as the Publication version and was consulted upon under Regulation 20 of the above Regulations.

1.2.5 Following submission in November 2014 and examination hearing sessions conducted in March 2015, the Legacy Corporation has proposed a number of modifications to the Local Plan. In accordance with the Regulations these modifications were consulted upon between 1<sup>st</sup> April and 13<sup>th</sup> May 2015. There are two types of proposed modifications:

- Proposed main modifications these are required in order address issues of soundness with the Plan
- Proposed other (minor) modifications – these are proposed for the purposes of clarification or correction and are not required to address issues of soundness

1.2.6 The changes proposed have occurred as a result of the issues raised during the Publication consultation and matters discussed at the examination in public hearings. The main modifications proposed by the LLDC, are to address potential soundness issues and will be forwarded to the Inspector for inclusion in the final report. The minor modifications are intended to improve the Local Plan i.e. to provide clarity or consistency, or to correct errors and will not be addressed by the Inspector, instead they will be a matter for the Legacy Corporation to consider on adoption.

## 1.3 The SA Process

1.3.1 SA (incorporating the requirements of the SEA Directive<sup>1</sup>) was undertaken on the LLDC Local Plan throughout its development. SA is a tool for ensuring that the principles of sustainable development are inherent throughout the preparation of the LLDC Local Plan and that it broadly complies with the relevant planning guidance. The overarching aim of the process is to contribute to better decision-making and planning. SA is an iterative process and follows a series of prescribed stages in which the elements of the LLDC Local Plan are appraised against Sustainability Objectives, to encourage the selection of the most sustainable options and to ultimately improve the sustainability of the development / guidance that is brought forward.

1.3.2 The SA Report was issued for consultation alongside the LLDC Local Plan from 18<sup>th</sup> August to 6<sup>th</sup> October 2014. The proposed modifications were made to the Local Plan following this consultation, changes proposed on submission and proposed through the examination hearing. This assessment considers whether there are any impacts relating to the SA assumptions or findings as a result of the proposed modifications to what is now the Publication version of the LLDC Local Plan. The changes made to the Local Plan do not relate to legal compliance of the document and do not materially alter it. As such, an assessment of the modifications has been undertaken and is presented

---

<sup>1</sup> Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment, June 2001

in this report to identify if the existing SA findings need to be amended or still stand i.e. whether they are considered a significant change in terms of the SEA Directive.

- 1.3.3 Table 2-1 in section 2 of this report identifies the **main modifications** that have been proposed by the LLDC together with a review of the potential sustainability implications and whether or not any further SA is required.

## 2 Review of significant changes to the Local Plan

Table 2-1 Assessment of the significance of the modifications of the Legacy Corporation Local Plan

Modification reference	Which Page/Paragraph does it relate to?	Modification	SA implications	Further SA required?
MM1	-	<p><b>Policies Map</b> Safeguarded Rail Sites to be included.</p> <p><b>See LD/32 map.</b></p>	Correct mapping.	<b>Not applicable</b>
MM2	Page 13	<p><b>Objective 3:</b> Create a high-quality built and natural environment that integrates new development with waterways, green space <u>and the historic environment.</u></p>	The additional wording would not change the previous SA assessment as it only strengthens the objective by reinforcing the importance of listed buildings and cultural heritage. Beneficial effects against SA objective ' <i>To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource</i> '	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM3	Page 23	<p><b>Policy B.1 (1)</b>  <u>Office uses should be located within the centres and</u> <del>Requiring</del> <u>an impacts assessment required where B1a office accommodation over 2,500 sqm is proposed outside Stratford town centre Metropolitan Centre boundary</u></p>	The amended text would not change the previous SA assessment as it simply confirms direction of office to centres to correct the previous inconsistency between B.1/B.2.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>												
MM4	Page 27	<p><b>Table 2</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="477 339 624 419">REFERENCE</th> <th data-bbox="629 339 860 419">EMPLOYMENT CLUSTERS</th> <th data-bbox="864 339 1462 419">CLUSTER FUNCTION</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 422 624 738"><b>B.1a2</b></td> <td data-bbox="629 422 860 738">Fish Island South including <u>Bow Midland West Rail Site</u> Strategic Industrial (Preferred <u>concrete</u> Industrial <u>distribution</u> Location</td> <td data-bbox="864 422 1462 738">A range of significant B2 and B8 Use Classes of industrial, warehousing, transport, waste management and distribution. <u>A safeguarded rail head and associated bulk freight distribution use. Uses should make effective use of the railhead, including potential for aggregate distribution and for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other products and handling, procession and of or aggregate material.</u> Only small-scale supporting ancillary uses will be supported.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 742 624 970"><b>B.1a3</b></td> <td data-bbox="629 742 860 970">Bow Goods Yard East <del>and West</del></td> <td data-bbox="864 742 1462 970">Supporting ancillary uses will be supported. A safeguarded rail head and associated bulk freight distribution use. B2, B8 and waste management uses are appropriate. Only development supporting the rail-related <del>(and at Bow West aggregates distribution uses)</del> and small-scale ancillary uses will be supported.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 973 624 1099"><b>B.1b8</b></td> <td data-bbox="629 973 860 1099">Rick Roberts Way <u>North</u></td> <td data-bbox="864 973 1462 1099">A cluster of existing high-quality industrial design and manufacturing uses of B2 and B8 Use Classes in modern buildings</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	REFERENCE	EMPLOYMENT CLUSTERS	CLUSTER FUNCTION	<b>B.1a2</b>	Fish Island South including <u>Bow Midland West Rail Site</u> Strategic Industrial (Preferred <u>concrete</u> Industrial <u>distribution</u> Location	A range of significant B2 and B8 Use Classes of industrial, warehousing, transport, waste management and distribution. <u>A safeguarded rail head and associated bulk freight distribution use. Uses should make effective use of the railhead, including potential for aggregate distribution and for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other products and handling, procession and of or aggregate material.</u> Only small-scale supporting ancillary uses will be supported.	<b>B.1a3</b>	Bow Goods Yard East <del>and West</del>	Supporting ancillary uses will be supported. A safeguarded rail head and associated bulk freight distribution use. B2, B8 and waste management uses are appropriate. Only development supporting the rail-related <del>(and at Bow West aggregates distribution uses)</del> and small-scale ancillary uses will be supported.	<b>B.1b8</b>	Rick Roberts Way <u>North</u>	A cluster of existing high-quality industrial design and manufacturing uses of B2 and B8 Use Classes in modern buildings	The additional wording would not change the previous SA as it simply provides a correction and clarification on the types of potential uses of the railhead.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
REFERENCE	EMPLOYMENT CLUSTERS	CLUSTER FUNCTION														
<b>B.1a2</b>	Fish Island South including <u>Bow Midland West Rail Site</u> Strategic Industrial (Preferred <u>concrete</u> Industrial <u>distribution</u> Location	A range of significant B2 and B8 Use Classes of industrial, warehousing, transport, waste management and distribution. <u>A safeguarded rail head and associated bulk freight distribution use. Uses should make effective use of the railhead, including potential for aggregate distribution and for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other products and handling, procession and of or aggregate material.</u> Only small-scale supporting ancillary uses will be supported.														
<b>B.1a3</b>	Bow Goods Yard East <del>and West</del>	Supporting ancillary uses will be supported. A safeguarded rail head and associated bulk freight distribution use. B2, B8 and waste management uses are appropriate. Only development supporting the rail-related <del>(and at Bow West aggregates distribution uses)</del> and small-scale ancillary uses will be supported.														
<b>B.1b8</b>	Rick Roberts Way <u>North</u>	A cluster of existing high-quality industrial design and manufacturing uses of B2 and B8 Use Classes in modern buildings														

MM5	Page 31	<p><b>Table 3 (footnote 13)</b></p> <p>(Footnote) 13. <u>Focused to the eastern part of the Stratford Metropolitan Centre (as extended) within the London Borough of Newham’s administrative area for planning purposes. The floorspace figure over whole plan period is indicative, and is not considered to be a cap, with a confirmed requirement of 14,000 sqm to 2021 and with the requirement from 2021 to 2030 subject to review before 2021.</u></p>	<p>The additional text would not change significantly the previous SA assessment as the change simply allows flexibility throughout the whole plan period and provides an opportunity to review the figures before 2021. That would contribute to potential additional benefits for SA7 <i>To encourage sustainable economic growth, inclusion and business development</i> and SA8 <i>To increase employment opportunities for all residents in the local area.</i></p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM6	Page 37	<p><b>Policy B.5</b></p> <p>Section 106 Agreements will be sought for major development proposals <u>and where necessary, other applications</u> to secure appropriate commitments and targets for employment skills, training and job opportunities for local residents</p>	<p>The additional text only ensures that smaller schemes may also be subject to S106 agreement thus securing appropriate commitments for a wider range of proposals. The amendment would not change significantly the previous SA assessment, it would have some additional benefits with regard to SA objective <i>To improve levels of educational attainment for all age groups and all sectors of society,</i> SA objective <i>To improve sustainable access to jobs, basic goods, services and amenities for all groups</i> and SA objective <i>To increase employment opportunities for all residents in the local area.</i></p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>

MM7	Page 38	<p><b>Paragraph 4.35 (add new paragraph 4.26)</b></p> <p><b>4.35</b> The Legacy Corporation area is host to a range of further and higher education establishments and a distinct graduate and postgraduate sector is emerging within the wider local economy. The enhancement of the higher education, research and development activity can contribute towards the aims of the convergence agenda <u>through the creation of job opportunities, access to education, and the impacts of investment and spend with the local economy <del>so will be pursued</del></u>. The scale of development proposed within the area presents an opportunity to focus postgraduate study and research activity alongside the existing and planned business institutions to complements the developing range of new industry and business activity,</p> <p><b>(New paragraph) 4.36</b> <u>New higher education, research and development will provide thousands or direct jobs opportunities for the high-skilled workforce but also lower-skilled jobs within ancillary uses and servicing functions supporting the higher education, research and development activities. Community benefits will include access to facilities and education opportunities, outreach work such as short courses and access for those without traditional qualifications. In combination these will provide a catalyst for further growth within the area enabling other supporting businesses to locate and expand.</u></p>	<p>The wording in Para 4.35 and additional paragraph would not change the previous SA assessment; the impacts identified in the new paragraph have been included in the SA assessment against the economic objectives.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
-----	---------	--	--	--

MM8	Page 41	<p><b>Objective 2</b> Delivering <del>approximately</del> <u>more than</u> 24,000</p>	<p>The previous SA assessment is based on approximate number of 24,000 homes. The revised text in the Objective and Para 5.3 below suggests there is uncertainty with regard to the exact housing numbers in the longer term and the quantum may be subject to change. If the number of homes increases significantly this may have implications on SA topics such as air quality, safety and security, employment, etc. Without knowing the scale of the increase there is uncertainty with the assessment as presented. Therefore, it is recommended that if housing figures increase significantly, further SA assessment is undertaken to take into account the updated figures.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
-----	---------	---	--	--

MM9	Page 42	<p><b>Paragraph 5.3</b></p> <p>...Figure 9, the housing trajectory, shows the ability to deliver housing against the housing target over the Plan period. It shows that within the last five years delivery is less certain; however, London Plan targets will be reviewed by 2019/2020. The five per cent buffer will be met for the first five years, but it may not be possible on a rolling five-year basis. The London Plan recognises the difficulty of this approach. Nonetheless, the cumulative housing target is expected to be exceeded, with more than 24,000 homes delivered over the Plan period through the creation of additional capacity and greater deliver on small sites than anticipated. <u>The Legacy Corporation will monitor and keep under review progress in seeking to achieve and where possible exceed the housing target, in particular against potential sources contained within London Plan Policy 3.3, introducing measures to enhance delivery, update evidence, investigate capacity requirements or amend targets where required.</u> The quantum and timescale of development are subject to change. The trajectory and the list of key sites available in Appendix 2 will be kept under review within the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR), <u>with delivery rates reflected within the rolling five year target.</u></p>	<p>The revised wording clarifies monitoring and review matters in relation to housing to better accord with London Plan. Please note that the number of dwellings is unknown as <i>'the quantum and timescale of development are subject to change'</i>. Therefore, it is recommended that if housing figures increase significantly, further SA assessment is undertaken to take into account the updated figures.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM10	Page 45	<p><b>Policy H.1 (4)</b></p> <p>Meet London Plan and <u>applicable</u> Housing SPG design considerations, <u>subject to Policy BN.4</u></p>	<p>The additional wording does not change the previous SA as the intention to simply refer to and reflect the Deregulation Act.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM11	Page 46	<p><b>Paragraph 5.12</b></p> <p>The Legacy Corporation is directed by the London Plan on a range of housing policies which will be applied <u>including; <del>design and access,</del> play space, residential amenity, daylight and sunlight, accessibility and <del>space standard</del> <u>safety design principles</u>, many of which are detailed within the Mayor's Housing SPG, including many of which are detailed within the Mayor's Housing SPG, including <del>safety design principles</del> <u>Policies BN.4 and BN.5 set out how the Baseline Standards within the Mayor's Housing SPG will be applied alongside optional requirements of the Nationally Described Space Standards - Technical Requirements.</u> in relation to space and accessibility standards. The London Plan's density matrix.....</u></p>	<p>The additional wording does not change the previous SA assessment as the intention is to simply include clear reference to the Deregulation Act.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>

MM12	Page 56	<p><b>Policy H.5</b></p> <p>The Legacy Corporation will seek to provide for the needs of gypsies and travellers generated within its area through working strategically with <del>adjoining</del> neighbouring boroughs <del>and co-operating with gypsy and traveller communities to allocation of</del> suitable sites.</p> <p>Existing sites will be safeguarded and new sites for the needs of gypsies and travellers will be acceptable where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Location is suitable for <del>conventional</del> residential development;</li> <li>2. Access can be gained to amenities, social and community facilities,</li> <li>3. Residential amenity for both existing and potential residents is not adversely affected, including potential for noise, light, smells and over-looking; and</li> </ol> <p>No other planning policy constraints identified within this Local Plan <u>with reference to local amenity and environment.</u></p>	The amendments in the policy would not change the previous SA assessment as the main intention remains the same; the additional text only provides details of how the implementation will be achieved.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM13	Page 56	<p><b>Paragraph 5.25</b></p> <p>.... This site is only expected to be able to meet the lower end of the first five-year pitch target of up to approximately nine pitches. It is not <del>yet known how anticipated that</del> the identified needs over the whole of the Plan period <del>can</del> <u>will</u> be met. <u>In order to do so the Legacy Corporation will <del>work</del> continue to cooperate with neighbouring authorities under the duty to cooperate and to explore potential to meet need associated with its area at a strategic level. The Legacy Corporation will therefore identify and update on an annual basis the availability of sites to meet the first five years' supply of sites against the pitch target; identify specific sites or broad locations of sites to meet supply for years 6 to 10, and thereafter; and monitor performance against these targets and review Local Plan Policy H.5 if these aims are not being met by 2018/19.</u></p>	The additional text would not change the previous SA as it only provides clarification with regard to monitoring and strengthens the role of the Legacy Corporation in terms of specific commitments throughout the plan period.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM14	Page 57	<p><b>Paragraph 5.26</b></p> <p>Where any additional sites can be identified for potential gypsy and traveller use within the area, suitability will be assessed on the same grounds as <del>conventional</del>-housing <u>in general</u>, including deliverability and developability tests. The policy criteria shall be used to assess proposals for potential sites within the plan-making and development management processes where potential arises. <u>The Legacy Corporation will monitor any unmet need through the monitoring and review process which will include updating evidence, investigating capacity requirements or amending targets where required and will cooperate with each of the Growth Boroughs to address wider strategic issues of accommodating need for gypsy and traveller accommodation once they have reached an appropriate point of review for their local plans.</u></p> <p>Where small sites are proposed, <del>viability will be a strong consideration alongside</del> proximity to existing sites to ensure the cohesion of the gypsy and traveller community <u>will be is</u> considered <u>positively</u>..</p>	The additional text would not change the previous SA as it only aims to clarify monitoring and review matters in relation to gypsy and traveller accommodation.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM15	Page 69	<p><b>Objective 3</b></p> <p>Create a high-quality built and natural environment that integrates new development with waterways, green space <u>and the historic environment</u></p>	The additional wording would not change the previous SA assessment as it only strengthens the objective by reinforcing the importance of listed buildings and cultural heritage. Whilst beneficial effects are identified for SA objective ' <i>To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource</i> ', the SA assessment will not change significantly.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM16	Page 70	<p><b>Policy SP.3 (Title) (2)</b></p> <p>Integrating the <del>built and</del> natural, <u>built and historic</u> environment</p> <p>Bullet 2- Enhances its built, <u>historic</u> and landscape context</p>	<p>The additional wording would not change the previous SA assessment as it only strengthens the policy wording by reinforcing the importance of listed buildings and cultural heritage. Whilst beneficial effects are identified for SA objective '<i>To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource</i>', the SA assessment will not change significantly.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
------	---------	---	---	--

MM17	Page 70	<p><b>Policy SP.3</b></p> <p>The Legacy Corporation will create a high-quality built and natural environment that integrates new development with waterways and green space <u>and the historic environment</u>, by ensuring development:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Gives primary consideration to the creation of 'place'</li> <li>2. Enhances its built, historic and landscape context</li> <li>3. Maintains and promotes local distinctiveness</li> <li>4. Protects <u>biodiversity</u> and provides green infrastructure networks where possible</li> <li>5. Facilitates safe access for all waterside and green environments</li> <li>6. <u>Is at least air quality neutral and minimises impact from noise</u></li> <li>7. Supports the delivery of the Sub Area priorities</li> <li>8. Respects the Legacy Corporation's Design Quality Policy</li> </ol>	<p>The additional wording would not change the previous SA assessment as it only strengthens the policy wording by reinforcing the importance of listed buildings and cultural heritage. Whilst beneficial effects are identified for SA objective <i>'To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource'</i>, the SA assessment will not change significantly. The previous SA already identified beneficial effects against SA objective <i>To protect and enhance biodiversity</i> but the role of the policy will be further strengthened with the additional wording in bullet point 4.</p> <p>Whilst some positive effects would occur as a result of the new bullet point 6 with regard to SA objective <i>To protect and improve air quality</i>, the amendment would not significantly change the previous SA assessment and overall findings will remain the same.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
------	---------	---	--	--

MM18	Page 72	<p><b>Policy BN.1 (2) (3)</b></p> <p>Bullet 2- Urban fabric: respect existing typologies, <u>including those of heritage value</u>, and draw design cues from the form of the area...</p> <p>Bullet 3- Architectural <u>and historic</u> context: enhance the architectural <u>and historic</u> setting within which development is proposed. Careful consideration should be given to architectural <u>and historic</u> style, materials ....</p>	<p>The additional wording would not change the previous SA assessment as it only strengthens the policy wording by reinforcing the importance of listed buildings and cultural heritage. Beneficial effects against SA objective SA11 '<i>To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource</i>'.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM19	Page 74	<p><b>Policy BN.2 (4)</b></p> <p>Introduce recreational, visitor and residential moorings <u>and improve existing moorings</u> where suitable.</p>	<p>The additional text would not change the previous SA as it only provides more options to achieve the SA objectives but the intention of the policy remains the same.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM20	Page 78	<p><b>Policy BN.4</b></p> <p>All residential development will be required as a minimum to meet the <u>Nationally Described Space Standards - Technical Requirements</u>. Proposals will be considered acceptable where residential elements meet the 'Baseline' Quality and Design Standards outlined with Annex 1 of the Mayor of London's Housing Supplementary Planning Guidance (November 2012)("Annex 1 Baseline Standards"), including any future revisions or superseding guidance <u>save that the following elements of Annex 1 Baseline Standards shall not apply:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>To any elements of the Annex 1 Baseline Standards that are addressed by the Nationally Described Space Standards – Technical Requirements unless they are equivalent.</u></li> <li>2. <u>Any elements of the Annex 1 Baseline Standards that are addressed by other policies in this Plan.</u></li> </ol> <p>(Amend numbering for the rest of the policy)</p>	<p>The additional text does not change the previous SA as the intention is to simply refer to and reflect the Deregulation Act and the Nationally Described Standards.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>

MM21	Page 79	<p><b>Policy BN.5</b></p> <p><u>Non-residential Proposals</u> will be considered acceptable where they respond to the needs of all users, and provide an accessible and inclusive environment by incorporating all applicable elements of the Legacy Corporation's Inclusive Design Standards.</p> <p><u>Residential proposals will be considered acceptable where they respond to the needs of all users, and provide an accessible and inclusive environment by providing 90 % of dwellings in accordance with Optional Requirement M4 (2) Category 2 of Part M of the Building Regulations, and 10% of dwellings in accordance with Regulation 4 (3) 2 (b) of Optional Requirement M4 (3) Category 3 of Part M of the Building Regulations.</u></p> <p><u>The relevant elements of the Mayor of London's Housing Supplementary Planning Guidance (November 2012)("Annex 1 Baseline Standards"), will only be applied where they are equivalent to the Optional Requirements in Part M of the Building Regulations(as applied by this policy).</u></p>	The additional text does not change the previous SA assessment as it simply makes reference to the Deregulation Act and reflects the requirements introduced in respect of the new optional building regulations documents.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM22	Page 79	<p><b>Paragraph 6.19</b></p> <p>The Legacy Corporation is committed to continuing the significant accessibility and inclusive design work that was undertaken in delivering Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park and its legacy venues. The aim of this is to create wholly inclusive 'Lifetime' neighbourhoods that can be enjoyed by everyone, regardless of disability, age, gender, sexual orientation, race or faith. To help achieve this, the Legacy Corporation has developed its own standards that set a recognised benchmark for Inclusive Design. The Greater London Authority has identified these as a model of good practice that should be followed in all developments outside Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park. <del>Applicants must therefore reference these standards within their Design and Access Statements in order to demonstrate how they have been met and incorporated within their proposals. This will help deliver the highest standards of inclusive design and more usable and open only accessible urban environments, both within and outside Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park.</del></p>	The deleted text does not change the previous SA as the intention to deliver the highest standards of inclusive design is presented in the first part of the text and in paragraph 6.20.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM23	Page 80	<p><b>Paragraph 6.20</b></p> <p>In order to promote the creation of inclusive places, developers will be encouraged to engage with the individuals who will use those places when designing their proposals. <u>Applicants for non-residential development must reference the Legacy Corporation's Inclusive Design Standards within their Design and Access Statements in order to demonstrate how they have been met and incorporated within their proposals. Applicants for residential development must reference Category 2 and Category 3 Optional Requirements within their Design and Access statements in order to demonstrate how they have been met and incorporated, and are encourage to also reference the Legacy Corporation's Inclusive Design Standard. This will help deliver the highest standards of inclusive design and more usable and openly accessible urban environments, both within and outside Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park.</u></p>	<p>The additional text would not have implications on the previous SA as it only moves the text from paragraph 6.19 to 6.20 and provides further clarifications on the design requirements for non-residential and residential developments.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM24	Page 81	<p><b>BN.7(3)</b></p> <p>Aligning with Lee Valley Regional Park, <u>Lea River Park and Leaway area</u></p>	<p>The additional text would not change the previous SA as it simply clarifies how the SA objectives will be achieved but the role and importance of local open space remains the same.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>

MM25	Page 89	<p><b>Policy BN.10: Proposals for tall buildings</b></p> <p>Proposals for tall buildings will be considered acceptable where they:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Exhibit outstanding architecture and incorporate high-quality materials, finishes and details</li> <li>2. Respect the scale and grain of their context</li> <li>3. Relate well to street widths and make a positive contribution to the streetscape</li> <li>4. Generate an active street frontage</li> <li>5. Provide accessible public space within their curtilage</li> <li>6. Incorporate sufficient communal space</li> <li>7. Contribute to defining public routes and spaces</li> <li>8. Promote legibility</li> <li>9. Create new or enhance existing views, vistas and sightlines</li> <li>10. Preserve or enhance heritage assets and the views to/from these, and contribute positively to the setting of heritage assets, including conservation areas. Proposals for tall buildings that are likely to have a significant adverse impact on one or more of the following will be <del>refused</del> <u>considered unacceptable</u>: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11. Micro-climatic conditions (specifically down-draughts and lateral winds over public spaces)</li> <li>12. <del>Amenity</del> <u>Amenity</u>: Impacts to the surrounding area (including open spaces and other buildings and waterways) that relate to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overlooking</li> <li>• Daylight</li> <li>• Overshadowing</li> <li>• Light spill/reflection</li> <li>• <u>Wider amenity</u></li> </ul> </li> <li>13. Existing views of landmarks, parkland, heritage assets, waterways, and views along street corridors (in accordance with the policy on Protecting Key Views).</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>Tall buildings should be located within the Centre boundaries outlined within this Local Plan.</p>	<p>The additional wording in the Policy would not change the previous SA as it simply clarifies the policy approach and ensures consistency with other policies in the Local Plan.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
------	---------	---	--	--

		<p>In order of hierarchy, these are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stratford <del>Town</del> Metropolitan Centre (parts within the Legacy Corporation Area) Extension</li> <li>• Bromley-by-Bow District Centre</li> <li>• Hackney Wick Neighbourhood Centre</li> <li>• Pudding Mill Local Centre</li> <li>• East Village Local Centre</li> </ul> <p>Tall buildings are defined by the Legacy Corporation as those that are higher than a Sub Area's prevailing <u>or generally expected</u> height <u>as set out in this plan</u>.</p> <p><u>Proposals for tall buildings outside the Centre boundaries will be assessed against Criteria 1–13 of Policy BN.10.</u></p> <p><b>Cross-reference to policies: BN.1; <u>BN.2</u>; BN.4; BN.9; BN.16</b></p> <p><b>Sub Area Policies: 1.2; 1.4; <u>1.6</u>; 3.1; 4.4</b></p> <p><b>London Plan policy: 7.7</b></p> <p><b><u>Prevailing or generally expected heights for each sub area are defined within Policy 1.6 (page x); Table 8 (page x); Policy 3.1 (page x); Paragraph 12.5 (page x); and Table 8a (page x).</u></b></p>		
MM26	Page 94	<p><b>Policy BN.13</b></p> <p>Insert fourth bullet: <u>Take account of the impacts from any existing consented hazardous substances installation</u></p>	The inserted text would not change the previous SA assessment as it simply inserts the bullet point in the correct place of the Local Plan (new bullet point incorrectly shown as BN.11 rather than BN.13).	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM27	Page 103	<p><b>Policy IN.1</b></p> <p>..to be located. Where Possible, the Legacy Corporation will require new telecommunications <u>and radio equipment to be located on existing masts, buildings and other structures to minimise the number of installations, unless the need for a new site has been justified.</u> <del>rather than having new stand-alone equipment</del></p>	The amendments in the text would not change the previous SA as it simply reflects NPPF more accurately.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM28	Page 105	<p><b>Insert before paragraph 7.6</b></p> <p><u>It is acknowledged that the LLDC as a planning authority relies on waste facilities outside its area to manage waste generated within its area and the Local Plan assumes this pattern will continue. Similarly, the surrounding boroughs may rely on waste facilities in the LLDC area.</u></p>	The additional text before paragraph 7.6 only provides clarification with regard to the waste authorities/facilities for the Legacy Corporation area. The findings of the SA of Policy IN.1 however would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM29	Page 105	<p><b>Paragraph 7.6</b></p> <p><u>The Legacy Corporation is the waste planning authority for its area by virtue of its role as a planning authority. The Four Boroughs have responsibility for waste planning authorities for the Legacy Corporation area are the four Boroughs (Hackney, Newham, Tower Hamlets and Waltham Forest) within the remainder of their area. Each borough has, or will have within the lifetime of this Local Plan, an adopted waste plan or waste planning policies. The adopted .... The Legacy Corporation will work closely with these two Boroughs the North London Boroughs and other key stakeholders to make ....</u></p>	The amendments only provide clarification with regard to the waste authorities/facilities for the Legacy Corporation area. The findings of the SA of Policy IN.1 however would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM30	Page 105	<p><b>Paragraph 7.7</b></p> <p>The London Plan identifies waste apportionment targets ..... However, the Legacy Corporation will cooperate with the four Boroughs in seeking to meet the Borough apportionment targets and strategy for waste. When determining planning applications, these targets..... However, the Legacy Corporation will cooperate with the four Boroughs, <u>the GLA and TfL</u> in seeking to meet the Borough apportionment targets <u>and strategy for waste</u>. When determining planning applications, these targets.....</p>	The additional wording only provides clarification with regard to the waste management in the Legacy Corporation area. The findings of the SA of Policy IN.1 however would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM31	Page 111	<p><b>Policy T.3</b></p> <p>Add <u>'and'</u> after point 5</p>	Clarification – the previous SA would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM32	Page 112	<p><b>Policy T.4 (6) (8) (9)</b></p> <p>Bullet 6- Require new developments to include <u>on site spaces or satisfactory arrangements for car clubs</u>, facilities for electric vehicle charging and stands for cycle hire, <del>as</del> where appropriate.'</p> <p>Bullet 8: Require new developments to use <u>target-based</u> Travel Plans to encourage smarter travel, <u>incentivised through S106 Agreements</u>.'</p> <p>Bullet 9: Encourage the use of the waterways in the area for transport and leisure and <u>the towpaths</u> as routes for pedestrians and cyclists, as appropriate, <u>managing any potential conflict through design</u>.</p>	<p>The additional wording would not change the previous SA assessment as it simply clarifies how sustainable transport will be promoted; however the intention and previous SA findings remain the same.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
------	----------	---	--	--

MM33	Page 116	<p><b>Figure 24</b></p> <p>Note- For all changes made within Figure 24, corresponding changes will also be made to Figure 28 (page 155), Figure 32 (page 180), Figure 34 (page 194) and Figure 36 (page 211). <b>See LD/33 map.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Change within the Principal Connection Improvement circle, and solid red line within SA1.1 and SA1.3 to key connections to be enhanced (off-road)</li> <li>2. Place principal connection improvement over Old Ford link</li> <li>3. Change central and right connection over the Hertford Union to key connection to be enhanced 'off-road' within the Principal Connection Improvement circle</li> <li>4. Delete key connection to be enhanced (on-road) within SA1.7</li> <li>5. Amend area at southern SA1.7 to key connection to be enhanced (on-road)</li> <li>6. Change within the Principal Connection Improvement to key connections to be enhanced (off-road) at crossing south of Roach Road</li> <li>7. Delete area of key connections to be enhanced (on road) at the south of SA1.8.</li> <li>8. Add new circle to connection across A12 by Bromley by Bow Station</li> <li>9. Extend Key Connections to be Enhanced eastwards towards river within SA4.3</li> <li>10. Amend two thick horizontal lines across SA4.1 to Key Connection to be enhanced (on-road)</li> <li>11. Amend three Principal Connection Improvements within SA4.1 and SA4.2 to show within the circles that they are Key connections to be enhanced (off- road)</li> <li>12. Amend to include a footpath on the south side of Bow Back River as Key connection (off-road)</li> <li>13. Amend routes around stadium to continue Key Connections (off-Road)</li> <li>14. Change connection along Wansbeck Road from the Monier Road roundabout to Rothbury Road to key connection to be enhanced (on-road)</li> </ol>	Correction in figures – the previous SA would not change as the intention to enhance pedestrian and cycle connectivity remains the same.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM34	Page 122	<p><b>Policy T.10</b></p> <p>....biodiversity and drainage functions, <u>potential conflicts between user groups and impact on navigation and river regime.</u></p>	The additional text would not change the previous SA as it simply provides clarifications with regard to potential increase in river use and navigational risk assessment issues.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM35	Page 122	<p><b>Policy T.10</b></p> <p>Where appropriate, <u>and to help facilitate projects such as the Leaway</u>, the Legacy Corporation will require development proposals to provide new or improved access <u>along</u> the waterways and improvements to towpaths and footpaths, and facilitate the introduction of <u>a range of</u> moorings and other waterway –related infrastructure where these do not compromise the other functions of those waterways.</p>	The intention of the policy remains the same, previous SA findings would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM36	Page 130	<p><b>Policy S.3</b></p> <p>Add text to third paragraph: “Applications for major development should demonstrate that opportunities to connect to existing energy networks in the Legacy Corporation area or construct and connect to new energy networks, <u>and to facilitate connections from existing development to those networks</u>, have been maximised through provision of localised network connections <u>and through provision of heat</u> and cooling network infrastructure within buildings, where it is viable to do so.”</p> <p>Correct last sentence of policy to read: "Proposals for <del>new development, including</del> bridges, will be required to demonstrate that provision is included to accommodate utilities networks, including <u>where appropriate</u>, heat and, <del>where appropriate</del> cooling network pipes."</p>	The additional wording would not change the previous SA as it only clarifies the applicability to connections to existing development.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM37	Page 133	<p><b>Policy S.4</b></p> <p>(Last paragraph) <del>Residential development proposals will be required to demonstrate that they will be capable of achieving at least a Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 score (or any future nationally recognised equivalent).</del> Non- domestic space within development will be required to demonstrate that it is capable of achieving a minimum of BREEAM 2011 Very Good, while achieving a maximum score for water use (or an equivalent in any future nationally recognised assessment scheme)."</p>	The reason for change is to reflect Deregulation Act and the new National Described/optional standards. The previous SA would not change as design standards for residential developments are specified in other policies of the plan.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM38	Page 134	<p><b>Policy S.5</b></p> <p>(Second paragraph) Proposals for major development will be expected to demonstrate that they maximise opportunities to reduce water demand and use. Where feasible and viable, for domestic use it should be demonstrated that those measures are capable of achieving a design standard of water use of less than <del>405</del> <u>110</u> litres per person per day (<u>including an external water use of 5 litres of water per person per day</u>).</p>	The previous SA would not change as the amendments simply provide more accuracy in order to reflect the Deregulation Act and the new National Described/optional standards.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM39	Page 139	<p><b>Policy S.8</b></p> <p>...setting living accommodation <u>finished floor levels 300mm above the predicted flood level for the 1 in 100 chance in any year including an allowance for climate change</u></p>	<p>The intention of Policy S8 <i>Flood risk and sustainable drainage measures</i> remains the same. The additional text simply provides more details as to how the SA objective <i>To protect and enhance the quality of water features and resources and reduce the risk of flooding</i> will be achieved.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM40	Page 140	<p><b>Policy S.8</b></p> <p>Add following to first sentence of final paragraph within the policy:</p> <p>“..... can be shown that sustainable drainage methods are not feasible in that location, particularly in areas where a localised surface water drainage problem has been identified within a Surface Water Management Plan <u>(including potential flooding from sewers)</u>” (See Also appended Statement of Common Ground between the Environment Agency and Legacy Corporation, introducing a further recommended minor change to Policy S8)”</p>	<p>Whilst the additional wording clarifies what the potential sources of flooding might be, the intention of the policy remain the same and the previous SA assessment would not change significantly.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM41	Page 151	<p><b>Policy 1.1 (3)</b></p> <p>Restore and reuse <del>buildings of heritage value</del> <u>assets</u> for employment <u>or other</u> uses.</p>	<p>The amendment would not change the previous SA as it only corrects the wording to ensure consistency throughout the plan.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM42	Page 151	<p><b>Strategic Links</b></p> <p>Safeguarding the Bow Midland West Rail site for rail use and promoting access to the surrounding road network. Further south in Fish Island, there is a Strategic Industrial Location (SIL) designation that incorporates the <u>safeguarded</u> Bow Midland West Rail site <u>(as identified on the Policies Map)</u>. New development should not adversely affect existing businesses and should be designed to take account of their existence and <u>their existing and future</u> operational requirements, particularly where those businesses are located within the designated employment clusters.</p>	<p>The additional wording strengthens the role of the existing businesses which will offer benefits with regard to SA objectives <i>To encourage sustainable economic growth, inclusion and business development</i> and <i>To increase employment opportunities for all residents in the local area</i>.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>

MM43	Page 151	<p><b>Paragraph 10.3</b></p> <p><b>Flooding:</b> Parts of Hackney Wick and Fish Island are at risk of fluvial flooding from the River Lee Navigation. To ensure future growth in this area is sustainable, development proposals will need to incorporate appropriate flood mitigation measures in accordance with Policy S.8 and the guidance within the most up to date strategic flood risk assessments for this area (see evidence base list at page 141 for the current assessments) and the most up to date flood modelling held by the Environment Agency.</p>	The additional text would result in direct benefits with regard to SA objective <i>To protect and enhance the quality of water features and resources and reduce the risk of flooding</i> and <i>To limit and adapt to climate change</i> . It also strengthens the role of Policy S.8.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM44	Page 155	<p><b>Figure 29</b></p> <p>Place principal connection improvement over Old Ford link; change central and right connection over the Hertford Union to 'off-road'.</p> <p>Amend to reflect changes made to Figure 24 (see number 89 above)</p>	Correction in Figure 29 to ensure consistency with Figure 24 – the previous SA would not change as the intention to enhance pedestrian and cycle connectivity remains the same.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM45	Page 161-218	<p><b>Site allocations</b></p> <p>Change title for all site allocations: <u>Supporting development principles</u></p> <p>Amendments to presentation of the site allocations to clarify policy text. All site allocation boxes – remove grey background from all supporting text, keeping this only for the site allocation policy box</p>	Clarification of the policy text and the amendments in presentation would not change the previous SA findings.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM46	Page 164	<p><b>SA1.3</b></p> <p>Amend site allocation text: "...residential, creative and cultural uses, and a <u>linear park</u>."</p>	The additional wording in the site allocation text would not change the previous SA as the effects from the linear park have already been assessed in the main SA report and the reason for the modification is correction.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM47	Page 164	<p><b>SA1.3</b></p> <p>Correction to bullet point 9: Development should preserve or enhance <del>the setting of the Conservation Area, and retain and reuse buildings of heritage value. These buildings should anchor new routes, frontages and public spaces</del> the Conservation Area, and <u>where outside, enhance its setting.</u></p>	The deleted text is moved in the next bullet point, the previous SA assessment would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM48	Page 164	<b>SA1.3</b> Insert new bullet point: <u>• Retain and reuse buildings of heritage value. These buildings should anchor new routes, frontages and public spaces.</u>	The inserted text is moved from the previous bullet point, the SA findings would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM49	Page 166	<b>SA1.5</b> Correct bullet point 3: “• Development should <del>preserve</del> or enhance the setting of the Conservation Area”	The amended text would not change the previous SA findings as it only aims to provide more accuracy in the wording itself.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM50	Page 167	<b>SA1.6</b> Correction to site allocation text: “...medium density <u>residential</u> development incorporating <u>public open space</u> ...”	The amended text would not change the previous SA findings as it only provides more clarity and accuracy in the wording itself.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM51	Page 167	<b>SA1.6</b> Correction to bullet point 6 • Building heights must provide a transition from a <del>maximum</del> frontage height of six storeys along the Hertford Union Canal down to four to <del>six five</del> storeys along Wyke Road.	The changes in the text aim to ensure correct wording and would not change the previous SA assessment.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM52	Page 168	<b>SA1.7</b> Amend site allocation text: “Employment cluster and comprehensive, phased mixed-use development, <u>including residential, employment, retail, leisure and community floorspace (incorporating a new primary school and two nurseries).</u> ”	The additional wording in the site allocation text has already been assessed as part of the development principles. The previous SA assessment would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM53	Page 169	<b>SA1.8</b> Amend site allocation text: “Comprehensive, phased mixed-use development., <u>including residential, employment, retail and community floorspace (incorporating a new primary school, nursery, health centre and library).</u> ”	The additional wording in the site allocation text has already been assessed as part of the development principles. The previous SA assessment would not change.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM54	Page 181	<p><b>Table 8: Prevailing heights in Sub Area 2</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 150 972 204"><b>Chobham Farm</b> (see site allocation SA2.1)</td> <td data-bbox="972 150 1458 204">20 metres</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 204 972 288"><b>Leyton Road North</b> (area north of Henritetta Street)</td> <td data-bbox="972 204 1458 288">20 metres</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 288 972 499"><b>East Village</b> (see Site Allocation SA2.2)</td> <td data-bbox="972 288 1458 499"><del>20 metres, with higher elements on the southern and northern edge</del> 30 metres, with tall buildings on undeveloped plots closest to the boundary with the Metropolitan Centre</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 499 972 699"><b>Chobham Manor</b> (see Site Allocation SA2.3)</td> <td data-bbox="972 499 1458 699"><del>30 metres, with tall buildings on undeveloped plots closest to the boundary with the Metropolitan Centre</del> 20 metres, with higher elements on the southern and northern edge</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Chobham Farm</b> (see site allocation SA2.1)	20 metres	<b>Leyton Road North</b> (area north of Henritetta Street)	20 metres	<b>East Village</b> (see Site Allocation SA2.2)	<del>20 metres, with higher elements on the southern and northern edge</del> 30 metres, with tall buildings on undeveloped plots closest to the boundary with the Metropolitan Centre	<b>Chobham Manor</b> (see Site Allocation SA2.3)	<del>30 metres, with tall buildings on undeveloped plots closest to the boundary with the Metropolitan Centre</del> 20 metres, with higher elements on the southern and northern edge	The reason for change is correction that would not change the previous SA.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
<b>Chobham Farm</b> (see site allocation SA2.1)	20 metres											
<b>Leyton Road North</b> (area north of Henritetta Street)	20 metres											
<b>East Village</b> (see Site Allocation SA2.2)	<del>20 metres, with higher elements on the southern and northern edge</del> 30 metres, with tall buildings on undeveloped plots closest to the boundary with the Metropolitan Centre											
<b>Chobham Manor</b> (see Site Allocation SA2.3)	<del>30 metres, with tall buildings on undeveloped plots closest to the boundary with the Metropolitan Centre</del> 20 metres, with higher elements on the southern and northern edge											
MM55	Page 189	<p><b>Paragraph 12.5</b> Insert section heading: <u>Prevailing height</u></p> <p>Unless specific building heights are stipulated within Policy 3.1, within this Sub Area where any development is proposed above <u>the prevailing height of 30m</u> from ground level, the Tall Buildings policy (BN.10) will apply.</p>	The inserted text would not change the previous SA assessment as it only ensures consistency throughout the plan.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>								
MM56	Page 196	<p><b>SA3.1</b> <del>Potential for a</del> A range of town centre uses and residential accommodation appropriate to the scale and form of the Metropolitan Centre designation. <u>The site will form an extension to the Town Metropolitan Centre Boundary of Stratford with the eastern parcel providing access to the town centre by a Link Bridge. Active uses shall be on the ground floor along enhanced key connections.</u></p>	The additional text would not change the previous SA as it only provides clarifications in the description but in essence the additional wording has been assessed as part of the development principles listed in the bullets points below.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>								

MM57	Page 197	<p><b>SA3.1</b></p> <p>Bullet 5- Eastern parcel should provide a large-scale town centre use with supporting elements and <del>reflect the constrained access including access to town centre by Link Bridge</del></p> <p>Bullet 8- Points where key connections meet the allocation <del>will</del> <u>shall</u> be gateways for enhancement</p> <p>Bullet 9 - <del>Active uses shall be on the ground floor along key connections and routes</del></p> <p>Bullet 11- <del>Safeguarding Inclusion</del> of land for new platforms at Stratford Station to support enhanced rail links to the north <u>where required</u>.</p> <p>Phasing bullet 3- The housing development of <del>1,105 units</del> at Cherry Park will be delivered from 2015</p>	The amendments would not change the previous SA as it only ensures consistency with other site allocations.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM58	Page 200	<p><b>SA3.4</b></p> <p>Add three further bullets to the ‘Supporting Development Principles’:</p> <p>Bullet 11- <u>Consider retention of existing low-rise family housing where this does not prevent the achievement of wider regeneration objectives</u></p> <p>Bullet 12- <u>Ensure early community consultation where specific development proposals or regeneration plans are brought forward</u></p> <p>Bullet 13- <u>Support the preparation of a Neighbourhood Plan where this conforms to the requirements of this site allocation and involves cooperation with the Council in its roles as landowner and housing authority.</u></p>	The additional bullet points will strengthen the role of the development principles providing some benefits with regard to SA objectives <i>To ensure housing provision meets local needs and To reduce poverty and social exclusion whilst promoting social inclusiveness and equality.</i>	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM59	Page 208	<p><b>Paragraph 13.3</b></p> <p>Amend first sentence of paragraph 13.3 as follows: “The Site Allocations within this Sub Area set out the <u>generally expected predominant</u> height of new development in each allocation.”</p> <p>Change <u>predominant</u> in 4<sup>th</sup> sentence to <u>prevailing</u></p> <p>Insert new sub heading after paragraph 13.3: “<u>Prevailing building heights and generally expected building heights</u>”</p> <p>Insert new number after ...Streimer Road. <u>13.3a</u></p> <p>Add new sentence at 13.3a: “<u>Table 8 a below sets out the prevailing heights that exist within the sub area outside the site allocations and the generally expected heights of development within the site allocations and Stratford High Street policy area.</u>”</p> <p>Insert new table: <u>Table 8a Prevailing and generally expected heights in Sub Area 4 (heights in metres above existing ground level)</u></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="477 715 1469 1114"> <tr> <td><b><u>Bromley-by-Bow</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.1) (generally expected height)</td> <td>18m</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b><u>Sugar House Lane</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.2) (generally expected height)</td> <td>15m</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b><u>Pudding Mill</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.3) (generally expected height)</td> <td>21m</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b><u>Stratford High Street Policy Area</u></b> (generally expected height) (Policy 3.1)</td> <td>27m</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b><u>Other Areas</u></b> (Prevailing height)</td> <td>10m</td> </tr> </table>	<b><u>Bromley-by-Bow</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.1) (generally expected height)	18m	<b><u>Sugar House Lane</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.2) (generally expected height)	15m	<b><u>Pudding Mill</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.3) (generally expected height)	21m	<b><u>Stratford High Street Policy Area</u></b> (generally expected height) (Policy 3.1)	27m	<b><u>Other Areas</u></b> (Prevailing height)	10m	The amendments would not change the previous SA as it simply provides further clarity with regard to existing prevailing heights and expected heights of development.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
<b><u>Bromley-by-Bow</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.1) (generally expected height)	18m													
<b><u>Sugar House Lane</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.2) (generally expected height)	15m													
<b><u>Pudding Mill</u></b> (Site Allocation SA4.3) (generally expected height)	21m													
<b><u>Stratford High Street Policy Area</u></b> (generally expected height) (Policy 3.1)	27m													
<b><u>Other Areas</u></b> (Prevailing height)	10m													

MM60	Page 210	<p><b>Policy 4.2 (2) (3)</b></p> <p>2<sup>nd</sup> bullet- Improving the pedestrian underpass adjacent to Bromley by Bow Station <u>and linking pedestrian and cycle routes</u> to allow access to the new District Centre <u>and the Lee Valley Regional Park</u> beyond.</p> <p>3<sup>rd</sup> bullet- Change A11 to A118</p> <p>6<sup>th</sup> bullet- Delivery of a west-east pedestrian and cycle route, parallel with Stratford High Street, through Pudding Mill, across the Greenway and through the Greater Carpenters area parallel to Stratford Town <u>Metropolitan Centre</u></p>	The amendments would not change the previous SA as the intention to improve existing and new connections remains the same and the reason for changes in the text is further clarification.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM61	Page 215	<p><b>SA4.1</b></p> <p>Bullet 4 – <del>The predominant height of new buildings across the area should be 18 metres, subject to meeting other policies in this Local Plan</del></p> <p>Insert: <u>Proposals for development above 18 metres above ground level will only be acceptable subject to the provisions of Policy BN.10</u></p>	The amendments would not change the previous SA as it only ensures consistency with other site allocations.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM62	Page 216	<p><b>SA4.2</b></p> <p><del>up to 1,200</del> new homes, with a significant number of family homes, Local Open Space, <u>playspace</u> and public realm. A new all-movements junction to enable access to the area and new <u>and enhanced</u> bridges to link the area to surrounding communities will be required alongside development. <u>Proposals for development above 15 metres above ground level will only be acceptable subject to the provisions of Policy BN.10.</u></p>	The amendments would not change the previous SA as it only ensures consistency with other site allocations.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM63	Page 216	<p><b>SA4.2</b> Add new development principle "<u>Improve the waterside environment of the River Lea, Waterworks River and Bow Back River.</u>"</p>	<p>Whilst the new development principle would ensure that any proposals that come forward will contribute to the achievement of SA objective <i>To protect and enhance the quality of water features and resources and reduce the risk of flooding</i>, the previous SA assessment would not change significantly.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM64	Page 216	<p><b>SA4.2 Sugar House Lane</b> Following changes are suggested:</p> <p><b>Site Allocation text Policy Box minor change to first sentence:</b> A new medium-density, mixed use area of <u>business (including cultural and creative)</u> and local retail space focused on the northern and southern part of the site ...."</p> <p><b>Amended text to phasing and implementation section.</b> Phasing and implementation</p> <p><u>Comprehensive delivery of the site allocation development beginning in 2015. As part of this, new pedestrian and vehicular access to the site will need to be provided. In particular, bridges linking the peninsula to Bromley-by-Bow and to Three Mills will need to be provided/enhanced. These have been secured through an existing planning permission. Equivalent provision would need to be made if any other new application comes forward, or the permitted scheme is amended as it is developed.</u></p>	<p>The additional wording would not change the previous SA as it simply provides firm details of phasing and implementation.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM65	Page 218	<p><b>SA4.3</b> Bullet 1 — <del>Heights of up to 21 metres from ground level are appropriate on this site, except for some taller elements in the Local Centre (see Policies B.2 and BN.10)</del> Insert: <u>Proposals for development above 21 metres above ground level will only be acceptable subject to the provisions of Policy BN.10</u></p>	<p>The additional text would not change the previous SA as it only ensures consistency with the policies in the plan.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>

MM66	Page 218	<p><b>SA4.3</b></p> <p>Add new development principle: <u>Regard will need to be had to not prejudicing the operation of the safeguarded rail freight site to the west (for example by ensuring that noise sensitive uses are located away from the site)</u></p>	Whilst the changes will ensure noise sensitive uses are located away from the site with some benefits to human health, the amendment would not significantly changes the previous SA assessment.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM67	Page 226	<p><b>Paragraph 14.19</b></p> <p>In order to measure the success of the strategy and policies within this Local Plan and help to identify any potential need for a review of all or part of the Local Plan, the Key Performance Indicators (KPI's) set out in Table 10 <del>below</del> will be used. <u>A review of the Plan is likely to be triggered where this monitoring shows that key elements of the Plan, such as delivery against housing targets, would not be met to a significant or on-going extent, or in 2018/19 in any event.</u> Monitoring of these indicators will be reported within the Legacy Corporation's annual Authorities Monitoring Report. This report will <u>also</u> include annual updates of the activities undertaken in relation to the Duty to Cooperate. <u>In addition to Local Plan monitoring the Growth Boroughs produce regular Convergence progress reports which report on performance against the Convergence themes and indicators.</u></p>	The amendments would not change the previous SA assessment as it simply provides clarifications on the delivery, implementation and monitoring of the Local Plan.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM68	Page 227	<p><b>Table 10 criteria 16</b></p> <p>Add <u>Changes in life expectancy for residents within Wards that fall within the Legacy Corporation area.</u></p>	The additional key performance indicator would not change the previous SA assessment as it simply clarifies how the monitoring will measure the success of the strategy and policies in relation to human health.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>
MM69	Page 227	<p><b>Table 10 (2)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Number of jobs/local jobs/opportunities within employment training initiatives created.</u></li> </ul>	The additional key performance indicator would not change the previous SA as it simply clarifies how the monitoring will measure the success of the strategy and policies in relation to economic activity.	<b>No further SA assessment required.</b>

MM70	Page 227	<p><b>Table 10 criteria 4</b></p> <p>Add <u>number of school places provided and /or granted planning permission</u></p> <p>Add <u>number and capacity of new health facilities approved</u></p>	<p>The additional key performance indicators would not change the previous SA as it simply clarifies how the monitoring will measure the success of the strategy and policies in relation to the provision and protection of community facilities.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>
MM71	Page 214	<p><b>SA4.1</b></p> <p>Proposals for development will be required to demonstrate that they contribute to the comprehensive development of Bromley-by-Bow Site Allocation area. To do this, applications will need to demonstrate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- that a masterplan approach for the Site Allocation as a whole is followed</li> <li>- that phasing of development across the overall site is appropriate and secured <u>by condition or through Section 106 Agreements attached to future planning permissions</u></li> <li>- that there is certainty <u>of timely delivery for the key elements of social and physical infrastructure and land uses identified as required within this site allocation</u> <del>over delivery of the complete comprehensive scheme, rather than delivery of separate piecemeal elements without certainty that all of the required uses accessibility improvements and necessary infrastructure will be delivered over the longer term"</del></li> </ul>	<p>The amended text does not change the SA assessment as it simply provides more clarity on the delivery of key infrastructure to ensure infrastructure is delivered at appropriate level.</p>	<p><b>No further SA assessment required.</b></p>

## 3 Conclusions and Next Steps

- 3.1.1 The proposed main modifications provide clarifications and details to address issues of soundness of the Local Plan. Although major implications on the previous SA assessment have not been identified, it should be noted that policy framework and objectives relating to protection and conservation of the historic environment has been strengthened as a result of the modifications thus providing additional benefits for SA objective '*To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource*'. The importance of the historic environment has been reinforced in the modified plan taking into account concerns raised during the consultation process and improving the overall performance of the Plan in sustainability terms.
- 3.1.2 The main modification with regard to housing numbers suggest a level of uncertainty in figures in the long term and allows more flexibility in terms of potential increase. If the number of homes increases significantly this may have implications on the majority of SA topics. Without knowing the scale of the increase there is uncertainty with the assessment as presented. Therefore, it is recommended that if housing figures increase significantly, further SA assessment is undertaken to take into account the updated figures.
- 3.1.3 In accordance with the Town and County Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations, 2012 the proposed modifications have been consulted upon for 6 weeks commencing on Wednesday 1 April and concluding at 5pm on Wednesday 13 May 2015. Full adoption of the Local Plan is anticipated in late 2015.