

OLYMPIC DELIVERY AUTHORITY

ODA PLANNING COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: FINAL MINUTES OF 90th COMMITTEE MEETING
Held on 24 January 2012 at 18.00

Old Town Hall, Stratford, 29 Broadway, London E15 4BQ

Present:

Lorraine Baldry Chairman

Local Authority Members:

Cllr Terry Wheeler, LB Waltham Forest
Cllr Geoffrey Taylor, LB Hackney
Cllr Conor McAuley, LB Newham
Cllr Judith Gardiner LB Tower Hamlets

Independent Members:

Mike Appleton
Celia Carrington
Janice Morphet
Dru Vesty

Officers in attendance:

Vivienne Ramsey ODA, Director of Planning Decisions
Anthony Hollingsworth ODA, Chief Planner Development
Control, Planning Decisions Team
Richard Griffiths ODA Legal Adviser, Planning Decisions
Team (Pinsent Masons)
Saba Master ODA Board Secretary

**1. APOLOGIES
(AGENDA ITEM 1)**

1.1. There were apologies from David Taylor and William Hodgson.

2. UPDATES, ORDER OF BUSINESS, AND REQUESTS TO SPEAK (AGENDA ITEM 2)

- 2.1 There were no Updates.
- 2.2 The order of business was unchanged.
- 2.3 There were no requests to speak.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (AGENDA ITEM 3)

- 3.1. The Secretary read the following statement:

'Members of this Planning Committee need to declare personal interests relevant to the agenda at the beginning of each meeting of the Planning Committee.

'Members will see that the paper for Item 3 which has been circulated lists interests which they have declared which appear to be personal interests relating to Item 5.

'Would Members please confirm that the declarations of personal interests listed in the paper for Item 3 are correct; and state if there are any other interests you wish to declare?'

'Personal interests are prejudicial if a reasonable member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts would conclude that the nature of your personal interest is such that your judgement of the public interest is likely to be affected. If, by virtue of your personal interest you have been involved in decisions about these proposals, you may have a prejudicial interest. In that circumstance you would need to leave the meeting during the consideration of that item. In light of the agenda before you this evening, please state whether or not any of the interests declared are prejudicial interests?'

The remaining Members of the Planning Committee confirmed that the declarations of personal interests recorded on the paper for Item 3 were correct and that none were considered prejudicial.

4. MINUTES AND MATTERS ARISING (AGENDA ITEM 4)

- 4.1. The Committee

AGREED the Minutes of the 89th Planning Committee Meeting.

5. LOCOG PSA Overlay

11/90723/AODODA – Submission of details of structures in the Northern Pedestrian Transport Mall pursuant to condition OG.3 (Temporary Buildings) and OD.15.8 (Submission of details) of permission ref. 11/90313/VARODA.

11/90725/AODODA –Submission of details of structures in the Eastern Pedestrian Access Area pursuant to condition OG.3 (Temporary Buildings) of permission ref. 11/90313/VARODA.


- 5.1 A PDT Officer gave a presentation and explained that the two applications seek approval for LOCOG's temporary tents in the pedestrian screening areas (PSAs) in PDZs 9 and 15. These applications are submitted pursuant to condition OG.3 (Temporary buildings) and the temporary buildings element of condition OD.15.8 (Submission of details) of planning permission ref. 11/90313/VARODA (the revised decision for the 2007 Olympic and Paralympic facilities and their Legacy Transformation development with varied conditions relating to renewable energy generation following an application under section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990).
- 5.2 The PDT Officer explained that the submission of details of structures in the Northern Pedestrian Transport Mall show LOCOG's overlay to the Northern Transport Mall, comprising the temporary pedestrian screening area tents, and the associated tents for the workroom, spectator medical area, contractor check-in etc. The ten tents (5x5m) would be at the eastern and western ends, with the eight larger tents in the centre. The smaller structures would be peaked tents (eaves height 3m) while the larger tents (10x10m) would be ridged (eaves height 3m). The details of the ODA's temporary structures in the Northern Transport Mall are not included for approval in this application, nor are LOCOG's flat roof structures to provide toilet facilities and ticket sales.
- 5.3 The PDT Officer explained that the submission of details of structures in the Eastern Pedestrian Screening Area are for twelve parallel rows of ridged tents (each 10x10m) aligned north-east to south-west. A smaller peaked roof is proposed at the southern end for security use. The area on the east side of Westfield Avenue is outside of the 2007 (as amended) application site boundary and so these structures cannot be approved pursuant to condition OG.3.
- 5.4 The PDT Officer reported that there was only one comment from the Consultation process. The Met Police raised no objection but requested the opportunity to discuss the glazing detail with the applicant. Informatives have been suggested advising the applicant of this in both recommendations.
- 5.5 The PDT Officer explained the key considerations of the proposed scheme included the:
 - 5.5.1 Principle of development and integration of the approved schemes – the principle of development of the Pedestrian Screening Areas has been considered in the assessment of the 2007 application and subsequent approval of details applications.
 - 5.5.2 Design and visual impact – the proposed temporary tents are considered to accord with the good design policies within the London Plan, London

Boroughs of Newham and Hackney UDPs, and the London Borough of Hackney Core Strategy, and to accord with section 5(5) of the Olympic Act.

- 5.5.3 Accessibility and Sustainability – the proposals accord with accessible design and sustainability policies in the London Plan, London Borough of Newham UDP, and the London Borough of Hackney Core Strategy.
- 5.5.4 Amenity – The structures themselves are considered not to affect residential amenity, and the use of the screening areas (and the associated potential for noise and disturbance) were previously considered as part of the approvals for the screening areas in the 2007 permission.
- 5.5.5 Flood risk, drainage and contaminated land – The proposals are considered not to raise adverse contaminated land issues, or affect the flooding risk of the site and surrounding area. An informative regarding foundations is suggested should the need for deeper foundations arise.
- 5.6 In conclusion the PDT Officer reported that the two applications comprise of temporary tents having a consistent, acceptable appearance, and sited in locations which accord with previous permissions. The proposals would allow proper preparation for the Games in accordance with section 5 (5) of the 2006 Olympic Act and would accord with policies in the London Plan, the London Borough of Newham UDP and draft Core Strategy, and the London Borough of Hackney UDP and Core Strategy.
- 5.7 There being no questions the Committee took a vote and unanimously:
- i) **APPROVED** the submitted details for application ref. 11/90723/AODODA subject to the conditions and informatives as set out in the report to partially discharge conditions OG.3 and OD.15.8 (part iv) of permission ref.11/90313/VARODA for the Pedestrian Screening Area overlay in the Northern Transport Mall.
 - ii) **APPROVED** the submitted details for application ref. 11/90313/AODODA subject to the conditions and informatives as set out below to partially discharge condition OG.3 of permission ref. 11/903/VARODA for the Eastern Pedestrian Screening area.

6. Any Other Business

There being no other business the meeting ended at 18.20.

Signed:  Chair

Date: 13/3/2012