

**OLYMPIC DELIVERY AUTHORITY**

**ODA PLANNING COMMITTEE**

**SUBJECT: MINUTES OF 55th COMMITTEE MEETING**  
Held on 10 November 2009 at 18.00

Old Town Hall, Stratford, 29 Broadway, London E15 4BQ

---

**Present:**

Lorraine Baldry	Chairman
David Taylor	Deputy Chairman

**Local Authority Members:**

Cllr Geoffrey Taylor	LB Hackney
Cllr Rofique Ahmed	LB Tower Hamlets
Cllr Conor McAuley	LB Newham
Cllr Terry Wheeler	LB Waltham Forest

**Independent Members:**

Mike Appleton  
Janice Morphet  
Celia Carrington  
William Hodgson  
Dru Vesty

**Officers in attendance:**

Vivienne Ramsey	ODA, Head of Development Control
Anthony Hollingsworth	ODA, Chief Planner Development Control, Planning Decisions Team
Victoria Crosby	ODA, Planning Decisions Team
David Horkan	ODA, Planning Decisions Team
Allen Ledden	ODA Legal Adviser, Planning Decisions Team, (Pinsent Masons)
Susan Krouwel	ODA, Committee Secretary

**1. APOLOGIES  
(AGENDA ITEM 1)**

1.1. There were no apologies.

## **2. UPDATES, ORDER OF BUSINESS, AND REQUESTS TO SPEAK (AGENDA ITEM 2)**

2.1. There were Updates for items 5 and 6.

### **Item 5 - 09/90275/AODODA – Basketball External Appearance**

- Consultation response received from the London Borough of Newham Planning Department supporting the high quality design for the external membrane and its articulation.
- The foundation details application had been approved.

### **Item 7 - 09/90197/VARODA - CTRL TWA Condition 3**

- London Borough of Newham Planning Committee had no objection to the application.

2.2. The order of business was unchanged.

2.3. Representatives of the applicants had requested to speak in favour of Item 5.

## **3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (AGENDA ITEM 3)**

3.1. The Secretary read the following statement:

'Members of this Planning Committee need to declare personal interests relevant to the agenda at the beginning of each meeting of the Planning Committee.

'Members will see that the paper for Item 3 which has been circulated lists interests which they have declared which appear to be personal interests relating to Items 5-6.

'Would Members please confirm that the declarations of personal interests listed in the paper for Item 3 are correct; and state if there are any other interests you wish to declare?

'Personal interests are prejudicial if a reasonable member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts would conclude that the nature of your personal interest is such that your judgement of the public interest is likely to be affected. If, by virtue of your personal interest you have been involved in decisions about these proposals, you may have a prejudicial interest. In that circumstance you would need to leave the meeting during the consideration of that item. In light of the agenda before you this evening, please state whether or not any of the interests declared are prejudicial interests?'

Members confirmed that the personal interests recorded were correct and that none were considered prejudicial.

## **4. MINUTES AND MATTERS ARISING**

#### **(AGENDA ITEM 4)**

##### 4.1. The Committee

**AGREED** the Minutes of the 54th Planning Committee Meeting.

#### **5. 09/90275/AODODA – Basketball External Appearance (AGENDA ITEM 5)**

Submission of details pursuant to condition BAOD.13 - Details for Basketball Arena (external appearance) of planning permission 08/90346/FULODA.  
*Land Within PDZ 6 Of The Olympic Park (Bounded By The Loop Road, River Lea And Velodrome) - Basketball Site*

- 5.1. Paul Snoddy (ODA Project Sponsor) spoke in favour of the proposals on behalf of the applicant. He explained that a mock up of the external membrane had been developed in Bolton. It was two bays wide and half the scale in height. There was some wrinkling on the first panel so some adjustments were made to the process and the finish of the second panel was better.
- 5.2. The original intention was to have a pixelated lighting scheme, however it had become clear that this would require the fabric to be punctured, which was not practical because of its potential reuse. The lighting designers had visited the mock up and tried a variety of projections and back lighting, which had delivered impressive results. They had advised that it would be possible to back light all four sides of the structure.
- 5.3. A Planning Officer gave a presentation to the Committee, explaining that the diamond pattern in the previous application had been illustrative. This application was to discharge the external appearance condition. The off-white fabric had been well received and the irregular pattern considered an improvement on the diamond pattern.
- 5.4. A member queried why the application did not include details of how the site would be left after the removal of the structure. A Planning Officer explained that the site would form a development platform in legacy, and that the Section 106 Agreement related to the 2007 consent required the platform to be made good. Further details would be included in the Legacy Transformation applications.
- 5.5. There being no further questions the Chairman moved to a vote and the Planning Committee unanimously **RESOLVED** that:

the Committee

**APPROVED** the application to discharge condition BAOD.13 for the reasons given in the report and update report, subject to the condition in the report.

#### **6. 09/90197/VARODA - CTRL TWA Condition 3**

**(AGENDA ITEM 6)**

Application under Section 73 of the Town & Country Planning Act to delete planning condition 3 of the Deemed Permission associated with the Channel Tunnel

Rail Link (Stratford Station and Subsidiary Works) Order 2001.  
*Stratford International Station, Stratford City, E15*

- 6.1. A Planning Officer gave a presentation, explaining that condition 3 prevented the opening of Stratford International Station before a travelator had been provided. The applicant was seeking to remove the requirement because the condition was being met through other means.
- 6.2. The applicant proposed a temporary bus route to link the International and Regional stations. In addition the DLR route would open in July 2010, and there would be a walking route through Westfield once it opened. Officers were satisfied that the objectives of Condition 3 were secured through other approvals.
- 6.3. A member asked what bicycle parking would be available during this period. A Planning Officer explained that there were existing cycle parking spaces at the Regional station, and that there would also be spaces at the DLR station and at Westfield when they opened. London Underground also had plans to provide more bicycle racks underneath the mezzanine at Stratford Regional and officers would seek to ensure further provision adjoining the international station when considering proposals for the details of adjoining public realm. Bicycles would not be allowed on the temporary bus route as it would be a PPE zone, with no cycle or pedestrian access. South East trains had a requirement in their franchise to open in December 2009. The proposals were interim arrangements to enable the station to open.
- 6.4. There being no further comments the Chairman moved to a vote and the Planning Committee unanimously RESOLVED that:

the Committee

**GRANTED** Full Planning Permission for the application, subject to:

- i) Receipt of a Unilateral Undertaking from the applicant to secure the following:
  - o Complete the Eastern Egress so it is ready for use prior to the opening of the Westfield Centre; and
  - o Erect the canopy approved under 09/90190/FULODA between the International Station and the DLR, prior to the opening and first use of the DLR
- ii) The conditions set out in the report.

**7. ANY OTHER BUSINESS  
(AGENDA ITEM 9)**

- 7.1. A Planning Officer explained that the next Committee meeting would be on 24 November. There would be a report on the OPTEMS Strategy and the

briefings would include the Annual Monitoring Update and an update from Lend Lease.

*There being no other business the meeting closed at 6:45pm.*

Signed: J. Baedyn

Date: 23/3/2010

Chairman

