

**OLYMPIC DELIVERY AUTHORITY**

**ODA PLANNING COMMITTEE**

12 December 2006

AGENDA ITEM: 4

SUBJECT: **MINUTES OF 3rd COMMITTEE MEETING**

Held on 28 November 2006 at 18.00

Old Town Hall, Stratford, 29 Broadway, London E15 4BQ

---

**Present:** Lorraine Baldry Chairman

**Local Authority Members:**

Cllr Rofique Ahmed – LB Tower Hamlets  
Cllr Conor McAuley – LB Newham  
Cllr Geoff Taylor – LB Hackney  
Cllr Terry Wheeler - LB Waltham Forest

**Independent Members:**

Michael Appleton  
Celia Carrington  
William Hodgson  
Janice Morphet  
Dru Vesty

**Officers in attendance:**

Vivienne Ramsey	ODA, Head of Development Control
Dawn Blackwell	ODA, Legal adviser, Planning Decisions Team, (Pinsent Masons)
Vanessa Brand	ODA, Committee Secretary

The Chairman drew attention to updates to the reports circulated in respect of:

Item 5

- New recommendations (c), (d), and (e), and other recommendations renumbered accordingly
- Original conditions and reasons imposed by Newham Borough Council (appendix 2 referred to in the report)

## Item 6

- Revised recommendation
- Letter dated 24 November 2006 from Paul Bowker of Development Projects, Regeneration and Development, London Borough of Newham.
- Email dated 27 November 2006 from Peter Minoletti, Planning Development Manager, London Thames Gateway Development Corporation
- Letter dated 28 November 2006 from Brian Cooke, Chairman, London TravelWatch

### **1. APOLOGIES (AGENDA ITEM 1)**

- 1.1. Apologies were received from David Taylor who was unable to attend the meeting

### **2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (AGENDA ITEM 2)**

- 2.1. Janice Morphet declared a personal interest relating to her daughter who is employed by a firm of solicitors acting for the Stratford City development on work not connected with that contract. This was not a prejudicial interest.

### **3. MINUTES AND MATTERS ARISING (AGENDA ITEM 3)**

- 3.1. The Committee:

AGREED the Minutes of the 2nd Committee meeting held on 14 November 2006

- 3.2. The various identified actions arising from the previous meeting were reviewed and the following points reported upon and/or noted:

- 3.2.1. Para 4.2 The Planning Code of Practice had been placed on the planning website

- 3.2.2. Para 9.1 The application drawings were displayed in the Committee Room.

### **4. CONDITIONAL PERMISSIONS (AGENDA ITEM 4)**

- 4.1. The Chairman welcomed the paper. At their last meeting the Committee had decided to delegate the wording of amended or additional conditions to the Head of Development Control in order to avoid detailed drafting during that meeting. The slightly revised recommendation would create a permanent delegation for all future meetings except where the Committee explicitly determined otherwise.

4.2. There being no questions the Chairman moved to a vote and the Planning Committee RESOLVED unanimously in accordance with the recommendation that the Committee agreed that they:

a) CONFIRMED their decision at the 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting on 14 November 2006, that, except where explicitly so determined, whenever the Committee are minded to impose conditions which have not been included in the officers' report, the precise wording of such conditions should be delegated to the Head of Development Control after consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman

b) AGREED the wording of the resolution:

that, in the event of recommendations being amended at the Committee in light of the debate, or in circumstances where representations are made in the Committee meeting by members of the public, applicants, or their agents, the task of formalising the wording of any additional or amended condition(s) to reflect the decision of the Committee shall be delegated to the Head of Development Control, after consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman

c) DELEGATED authority in the wording at (b) above for all future Planning Committee Meetings unless an explicit decision is made to draft the wording during the meeting.

#### *PLANNING APPLICATIONS*

#### **5. SECTION 73 APPLICATION TO VARY CONDITIONS D2, U1, D9 AND D10 ATTACHED TO THE EXISTING STRATFORD CITY PLANNING PERMISSION PLANNING APPLICATION 06/90017/VARODA (AGENDA ITEM 5)**

5.1. The Committee considered the report outlining the application for the site made by Stratford City Developments Ltd and London and Continental Railways. The Head of Development Control introduced the item. She reported that the original application had been referred to the Government Office for London under the Shopping Direction 1993 and the Secretary of State for Transport under the Crossrail Safeguarding Direction. This application would have to be referred again to both parties. She explained the reasons for the additional recommendations and in addition asked that the new recommendation (f) be amended to allow the Head of Development Control to i) amend any other conditions in need of revision as a result of this application and ii) to allow the Head of Development Control to clarify the wording of condition D10.

5.2. The Committee noted that John Rhodes of RPS Planning and John Shimmen of Westfield were available to answer any questions. After discussion of the officers' report, there being no further questions the Chairman moved to a vote and the Planning Committee RESOLVED unanimously in accordance with the revised recommendation that the Committee agreed that:

a) the reasons for APPROVAL be AGREED

- b) AGREED to refer the application to the Mayor for London CONFIRMING that they are minded to approve the application subject to the completion of a supplemental deed or suitable legal agreement.
- c) AGREED to add 'the Government Office for London, and the Secretary of State for Transport' after the Mayor for London'
- d) AGREED to refer the application to the Government Office for London under the terms of the Shopping Direction 1993 confirming that the ODA is minded to approve the application
- e) AGREED to refer the application to the Secretary of State for Transport under the terms of the Crossrail Safeguarding Direction confirming that the ODA is minded to approve the application
- f) subject to any direction from the Mayor for London, Government Office for London, or the Secretary of State for Transport GRANTED planning permission subject to:
  - the completion of a supplemental deed or suitable legal agreement;
  - the conditions on the original consent P/03/0601 with the time limits on conditions B4, B5, B6 and B7 suitably revised to reflect the lapse of time since the issue of the first consent in February 2005;
  - amendments to any other conditions on the original consent required as the result of this application;
  - conditions D2, D9, D10 (suitably amended by the Head of Development Control for the purposes of achieving clarity);
  - condition U1 amended as set out in the officers' report; and
  - with new conditions D9A and D2A added.
- g) AGREED to grant delegated authority to the Head of Development Control to consider any direction or other comments from the Mayor for London, Government Office for London, or the Secretary of State for Transport and to complete the legal agreement and issue the consent.

**6. ENCLOSURE OF 320M LENGTH OF RAILWAY LINE; DEMOLITION OF POWERHOUSE BRIDGE; CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NEW BRIDGES AND INFILLING OF CHANNELSEA RIVER  
PLANNING APPLICATION 06/90005/FUMODA  
(AGENDA ITEM 6)**

- 6.1. The Committee took into account late representations from Newham Borough Council, London Thames Gateway Development Corporation, and TravelWatch in relation to an original Grampian condition imposed on a consent under the Transport Works Act for Stratford International Station suggesting a Grampian condition be imposed on any consent on this application.
- 6.2. The Committee NOTED that officers, with the agreement of the applicants, considered that the application should be deferred to allow all parties to discuss the matter and hopefully agree condition(s) and a way forward. The

Chairman moved to a vote and the Planning Committee RESOLVED unanimously that the Committee agreed that:

the application should be DEFERRED

**7. ANY OTHER BUSINESS  
(AGENDA ITEM 7)**

7.1. The Committee noted that all planning applications were available on the planning website.

7.2. The Committee asked that key points for consideration should be highlighted at the beginning of each Committee paper. They also asked that, where a S106 Agreement was negotiated as a result of a Committee decision, officers should report back to the Committee the result of the negotiations and provide progress reports at periodic intervals on implementation and monitoring.

***Action: Head of Development Control***

7.3. The Committee noted that there would be a Committee Meeting on 12 December 2006 and that, subject to there being business to be considered, the following meeting had been set for 9 January 2007. There might also be a training session early in 2007.

*There being no other business the meeting closed at 7.15 pm*

SIGNED:

*L. Beaman*

DATE *12<sup>th</sup> December 2006*

CHAIRMAN

